

Synergy or Struggle? A Literature Review on the Intersection of Digital Transformation and Sustainability

¹Ms. Sadgi Agarwal,
PhD Scholar, GD Goenka University
²Dr. Abhishek Jha,
PhD Supervisor, GD Goenka University

ABSTRACT

As digital technologies continue to reshape industries globally, companies are increasingly adopting digital transformation to enhance their operations. This paper investigates the relationship between digital transformation efforts and their influence on sustainability initiatives and financial outcomes. Drawing on a diverse set of companies from different countries and sectors, the study analyzes how advancements in digital technologies are being leveraged to support sustainable business practices. Using data from financial reports and sustainability disclosures, the research employs various analytical methods to identify trends linking technology adoption with improved sustainability and economic performance. The results suggest that companies embracing both digital and sustainable practices tend to outperform in financial metrics, demonstrating the complementary nature of these strategies. The Prisma model was applied manually to ensure a comprehensive review of relevant studies and data sources, ensuring a rigorous analysis of the relationship between digital transformation and sustainability initiatives. This research provides valuable insights into the role of digital transformation in driving sustainable development across diverse industries worldwide. The study offers practical recommendations for businesses and policymakers, emphasizing the need for thoughtful investment in digital tools to foster long-term financial and environmental success.

Keywords: Digital Transformation, Sustainability, Financial Growth, Technology Adoption, AI, Sustainable Practices

Introduction

This study investigates the relationship between digital transformation initiatives, Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) metrics, and their impact on financial performance across various sectors and countries. By examining diverse financial indicators, digital transformation measures, and ESG parameters, this research builds on recent literature exploring these interconnected areas. Digital transformation has emerged as a crucial driver of environmental sustainability, enabling organizations to optimize resource usage, reduce carbon emissions, and promote green innovation. These technological advancements are essential in fostering sustainable business models within a circular and digitized economy (Ionaşcu et al., 2022).

The integration of advanced technologies—such as artificial intelligence, big data, and the Internet of Things (IoT)—is reshaping business strategies, enhancing operational efficiency, and driving innovation. While significant attention has been given to the intersection of digitalization and sustainability, the specific mechanisms through which digital transformation influences green business practices, particularly via dynamic capabilities, remain underexplored. This study highlights the role of strategic investments in digital technologies, talent, and infrastructure in enhancing dynamic capabilities, which, in turn, facilitate environmental transformation and support long-term business success (Peng et al., 2022).

In addition, this research examines the role of ESG metrics in improving financial outcomes, particularly when combined with digital transformation efforts. ESG factors are now central to corporate decision-making, with growing evidence suggesting that firms that integrate ESG practices alongside digital transformation achieve superior financial performance and productivity. The increasing recognition of ESG's impact on firm value and long-term sustainability is well-documented in recent literature (Ma et al., 2022). This study emphasizes the importance of organizational culture and the adoption of digital technologies for the successful implementation of green digital transformation strategies. By aligning sustainability practices with digitalization efforts, companies can not only improve corporate governance but also enhance financial performance, particularly in terms of Return on Equity (ROE) (Shobhwani & Lodha, 2023). Thus, integrating ESG considerations with digital transformation initiatives can provide organizations with a competitive advantage, advancing both financial success and broader social and environmental objectives.

Methodology

This research employs a comprehensive review of the existing literature to explore the intersection of digital transformation and sustainability. The review was conducted using prominent academic databases, including Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science, with a focus on papers published between 2019 and 2023. To ensure a systematic and unbiased selection process, the Prisma model was applied manually to review and select relevant studies and data sources.

The search process involved using Boolean operators "AND" and "OR" in combination with keywords such as "digital transformation," "sustainability," and "financial performance." This approach helped refine the search, ensuring a focused and relevant analysis. Initially, approximately 100 papers were identified, prioritizing the most recent research. After reviewing the abstracts, introductions, and conclusions of these papers, 43 studies were shortlisted based on their relevance to the research questions and objectives.

The selected studies span various topics related to digital transformation, sustainability, and ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) performance. They employ diverse methodologies, including regression analysis, panel data analysis, and survey-based approaches. The studies provide valuable insights into how digital technologies influence corporate sustainability practices, particularly environmental sustainability, and the broader impacts of digital transformation on financial performance and ESG metrics. By focusing on the most recent studies, the selection process establishes a robust foundation for understanding the evolving relationship between digital transformation and sustainability.

Literature Review

1. Digital Transformation and Green Innovation/Sustainability

Digital transformation (DT) has become a driving force in achieving sustainability goals, with its connection to green innovation and environmental performance increasingly recognized in academic research. Industry 4.0 technologies, including artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and smart technologies, have significantly reduced carbon emissions in sectors such as manufacturing (Yang et al., 2023). These advancements enable businesses to optimize energy consumption, minimize waste, and implement more efficient production processes, effectively mitigating environmental harm. This trend aligns with the growing recognition of digital transformation as a key enabler of global sustainability.

Further extending this impact, Industry 4.0 technologies facilitate green innovation, as evidenced by Ghobakhloo (2020) and Ghobakhloo et al. (2021), who identified that tools such as robotics, big data analytics, and automation not only spur the development of green technologies but also integrate sustainable practices within business operations. By utilizing these tools, companies can better monitor and reduce their carbon footprints, improve resource efficiency, and enhance the environmental impact of industrial activities. The integration of digital transformation into business strategies fosters corporate environmental responsibility and helps align operations with sustainability targets.

Robertson and Lapiņa (2023) assert that digital transformation boosts economic development by optimizing resource use, reducing waste, and lowering costs. It fosters the creation of new business models, enhances responsiveness to market demands, and drives innovation. Moreover, DT enhances environmental and social performance by promoting energy efficiency, sustainable resource use, and eco-friendly manufacturing, contributing to both economic and environmental sustainability.

As digital technologies continue to play a central role in sustainability, Cardinali & De Giovanni (2022) emphasize their importance in fostering greener business models. These digital tools enable companies to analyze data, track environmental impacts, and report sustainability efforts transparently. Feroz et al. (2021) have called for further research on how digital transformation can be applied specifically to areas like waste management, pollution control, and sustainable production, recognizing a gap in the current understanding of its role in these domains. In addition to technological innovation, Siswanti et al. (2024) observed that digital transformation influences sustainable business practices, corporate governance, and financial performance. However, the study found that corporate governance does not mediate the relationship between DT and sustainability, with financial performance emerging as a key factor driving sustainability within businesses. This highlights the critical role that digital transformation plays in supporting long-term sustainability goals.

Similarly, Qadri et al. (2024) propose a framework that aligns digital capabilities with sustainability objectives, stressing the importance of dynamic capabilities in maintaining a competitive advantage. Despite its contributions

to operational and consumer experience improvements, they note that the potential of digital transformation in fostering social sustainability remains underexplored.

In line with these findings, Zhang (2022) concluded that digital transformation supports corporate sustainability by addressing organizational challenges such as reducing production costs, increasing productivity, fostering innovation, and enhancing the overall sustainability framework. These insights complement the work of Mavlutova et al. (2022), who highlighted that digital transformation drives sustainable development, particularly in the financial sector, by enhancing financial inclusion and operational efficiency. However, the lack of standardized solutions remains a challenge, emphasizing the need for contextualization in implementing DT across sectors.

Ultimately, Peng et al. (2022) suggested that future research should explore the synergistic effects between digitalization and green transformation. This would provide valuable insights into how digital technologies can align with green innovation and sustainability across different industries. Together, these studies underscore the transformative role of digitalization in advancing both environmental and business sustainability, providing a foundation for further exploration of its potential.

2. The Role of ESG in Financial Performance and Productivity

The integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors with digital transformation has been shown to enhance both financial performance and productivity across various sectors. An expanding body of literature suggests that companies adopting digital strategies alongside robust ESG practices experience improvements in both financial outcomes and operational efficiency. Ionaşcu et al. (2022) found that ESG factors positively influence financial performance when coupled with the adoption of advanced digital technologies. Their study indicated that firms with stronger ESG practices, supported by digital tools, enjoyed higher profitability and market value, demonstrating the financial benefits of aligning business strategies with sustainability objectives. Digital transformation is increasingly recognized as a catalyst for improving ESG outcomes. Lin et al. (2023) provided evidence that digitalization enables organizations to align ESG practices with superior financial performance. By leveraging digital technologies, companies can reduce information asymmetries, improve transparency in ESG reporting, and enhance operational efficiencies. This, in turn, equips firms to better manage ESG risks and opportunities, creating more value for stakeholders. The adoption of digital tools facilitates more accurate decision-making regarding ESG performance, leading to greater investment efficiency and reduced operational risks.

Moreover, digital transformation plays a crucial role in alleviating financial constraints, which in turn enhances total factor productivity (TFP). Ma et al. (2022) demonstrated that digital innovation boosts ESG performance while addressing financial barriers, particularly for firms with limited access to capital. By automating processes, improving data analysis, and optimizing supply chain management, digitalization reduces costs, increases financial resilience, and enhances productivity. These findings emphasize that the synergy between digital transformation and ESG practices drives superior financial outcomes, positioning ESG as a key driver of sustainable corporate success.

Building on this, Lassala et al. (2021) highlighted that companies aligning with the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and demonstrating social responsibility gain a competitive edge. This alignment enhances financial performance by leveraging the triple bottom line approach, which creates long-term economic and social value. This insight underscores the growing importance of not just profit generation but also social and environmental contributions in driving corporate success.

In a similar vein, Jones and Wynn (2021) concluded that technology companies' sustainability goals are shaped by both commercial interests and altruistic motives. They emphasized that the circular economy offers a key opportunity for meaningful sustainable development through digital technologies, suggesting that companies can achieve both economic and environmental benefits by integrating circular economy principles with their digital strategies.

3. Cultural and Technological Readiness for Green Digital Transformation

The success of green digital transformation depends not only on the adoption of advanced technologies but also on the alignment of organizational culture with sustainability goals. Martínez-Peláez et al. (2023) underscored the importance of cultural readiness, especially in Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs), to facilitate the green digital transformation process. They argued that MSMEs must cultivate a culture that embraces sustainability to effectively integrate digital technologies into business practices. Such a cultural shift fosters a

mindset that prioritizes environmental responsibility, enabling companies to leverage digital tools for sustainable growth. Without this cultural alignment, technology adoption may be less effective, limiting the achievement of sustainability objectives.

Furthermore, the successful implementation of digital transformation strategies requires organizations to nurture a culture that values both technological innovation and sustainability. Fu and Li (2023) argued that digital transformation can moderate the effects of ESG by fostering sustainable growth, aligning technological advancements with broader sustainability objectives. Companies with a strong innovation culture are better equipped to integrate digital technologies with ESG practices, improving both their environmental impact and operational performance. This integration ensures sustainability is embedded within the company's business model, promoting long-term success in green digital transformation.

The role of organizational culture in driving green digital transformation is further emphasized by Diaz and Montalvo (2022), who stressed the importance of aligning digital strategies with sustainability goals for operational improvements. Their research showed that firms aligning digital transformation efforts with environmental and social responsibility initiatives realized better operational performance and a competitive advantage. As organizations continue to embrace green digital transformation, ensuring that their culture supports sustainability, with leaders advocating for digital tools and innovation, is crucial. This cultural alignment is essential for unlocking the full potential of green digital transformation in the competitive business environment. Additionally, Pinzaru et al. (2022) showed that digital transformation drives sustainability in Romanian companies, with internal factors having a greater impact. The study highlighted the role of organizational culture in enhancing competitive advantage and fostering innovation, suggesting that cultural readiness is essential for companies looking to leverage digital transformation for sustainable growth.

Moreover, Xinxian and Jianhui (2022) emphasized the need for employee preparation and active business adaptation to digitalization. Their findings underscore that employee readiness and engagement with the digital transformation process are vital for ensuring that the organization can fully embrace and capitalize on the opportunities provided by digital tools in driving sustainability.

Research Gap

As highlighted by Yang et al. (2023), there are several gaps in the existing literature that present opportunities for further research. One significant limitation is the use of crawler technology to construct the digitalization index from annual reports, which may introduce bias due to the summarized nature of the data. Future studies could explore whether the relationship between digitalization and carbon emissions is linear or nonlinear, which would require more detailed data for accurate analysis. Furthermore, the mechanism linking digitalization to carbon emissions, whether it involves a superposition or extrusion effect, remains unclear and warrants further investigation.

While Industry 4.0 is recognized for offering sustainability opportunities, its disruptive nature can also result in rapid product obsolescence, increased resource demand, and waste generation. Ghobakhloo (2020) emphasizes that the rising global demand for raw materials and energy could undermine the efficiency gains from digitalization, suggesting the need for public policies and multilateral agreements to address these unintended consequences.

Additionally, the potential inequality effects of Industry 4.0, particularly in developing countries, require more attention due to challenges such as high costs, cybersecurity concerns, and limited technological accessibility. These issues highlight the need for further research into mitigating problems like the skills gap and job polarization (Ghobakhloo, 2020). As Ghobakhloo (2021) further notes, the gradual pace of digital transformation under Industry 4.0 and the difficulty in measuring the impact of specific technologies on sustainable innovation present important research gaps. Future studies should examine how technological, organizational, and environmental factors influence sustainability outcomes, especially given potential negative impacts such as over-consumption and inequality. A systemic approach, as suggested by Ghobakhloo (2021), is crucial to better regulate and manage these factors.

Moreover, the lack of empirical studies on the business performance outcomes of Industry 4.0-enabled sustainable innovation points to the need for further exploration, particularly in understanding how digital technologies contribute to responsible digitalization (Cardinali, 2022). Ionasco (2022) identifies a limitation in their study due to the use of only two years of data, which restricts the ability to draw long-term conclusions. Additionally, their sample was confined to Chinese A-share listed firms, which, as Lin et al. (2023) point out, may limit the

generalizability of the findings. Future research could address this limitation by including firms from different markets or utilizing longer time frames to enhance the robustness and applicability of the findings.

Qadri et al. (2024) acknowledge that the primary limitation is the reliance on secondary data, which may not fully reflect the rapid advancements in digital transformation. Future research should prioritize empirical studies to validate the proposed framework, addressing gaps and offering deeper insights into the evolving role of digital technologies in sustainable innovation.

Also Siswanti et al. (2024) suggest future research should include a broader sample beyond the Java island region and explore different Islamic financial institutions, such as banks, insurance, and pawnshops. Additionally, researchers could expand the model by incorporating variables like environmental sustainability and governance (ESG).

Martínez-Peláez (2023) notes that their systematic literature review (SLR) was based on 59 papers sourced from the Web of Science Core Collection, a database that may miss valuable research from other sources. To expand the scope, future studies could incorporate additional databases, such as SCOPUS, for a more comprehensive analysis. Fu and Li (2023) also highlight a gap in their study, as it did not focus on specific industries. Different sectors face distinct challenges, such as varying policy environments and market conditions. Future research could explore the impact of ESG practices on financial performance in specific sectors, such as energy, and consider the role of non-listed companies and SMEs in driving ESG outcomes.

Findings

A significant body of research has explored the link between digital transformation, sustainability, corporate social responsibility (CSR), and financial performance. Ionaşcu et al. (2022) found that firms with advanced digitalization efforts demonstrate enhanced CSR activities, particularly in environmental protection, which subsequently leads to improved financial outcomes. Similarly, Peng et al. (2022) identified a U-shaped relationship between digitalization and green transformation in non-heavily polluting enterprises, with dynamic capabilities acting as a partial mediator. In a broader context, Ma et al. (2022) revealed that Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors positively influence total factor productivity (TFP), particularly in state-owned and high-pollution firms, driven by technological innovation.

Falzon & Micallef (2022) reported a modest positive relationship between ESG performance and financial outcomes in U.S.-based companies, noting that firms with higher ESG portfolios tend to see better stock returns. Digital technologies, including Artificial Intelligence (AI), Big Data, and the Internet of Things (IoT), were found to positively influence CSR practices and promote responsible digitalization, as reported by Cardinali & De Giovanni (2022). Camodeca & Almici (2021) observed a strong connection between digitalization and sustainability, particularly in achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

In emerging markets, Zhang (2022) demonstrated that digital transformation leads to enhanced corporate sustainability and productivity. Xu (2022) emphasized the importance of digital technologies in advancing sustainability in sectors like agriculture, energy, and resource management. To further strengthen the understanding of this relationship, Guandalini (2022) called for unified frameworks to address the fragmented link between digital transformation and sustainability. In line with this, Li & Shen (2021) showed that digitalization promotes green innovation in firms with weaker internal controls, while He & Su (2022) highlighted its positive impact in China, particularly under environmental regulatory pressures.

Ghobakhloo (2020) examined how digital technologies, such as the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT), AI, and cloud computing under Industry 4.0, support sustainability efforts. However, challenges in digital transformation research, such as terminological inconsistencies, were noted by Carroll et al. (2023). Kürpick et al. (2023) stressed the need for integrating digital technologies with sustainability goals through dual transformation strategies. Yang et al. (2023) demonstrated that digitalization significantly reduces carbon emissions in China's manufacturing sector, with green technology innovation and regulatory pressures acting as moderators.

Several studies, however, acknowledge limitations in their methodologies. Alam et al. (2022) and Shobhwani & Lodha (2023) pointed out issues such as small sample sizes and a narrow geographical focus, which can affect the generalizability of the findings. Furthermore, Yang et al. (2023) and Melo et al. (2023) highlighted biases in self-reported measures, which may skew results. Policymakers have been advised to support digitalization and sustainability through regulatory changes, as suggested by Ionaşcu et al. (2022), while both Xu (2022) and Peng et al. (2022) emphasized the role of digitalization in driving environmental sustainability through green innovation.

Jardak and Hamad (2022) suggest that digital transformation may cause short-term financial challenges but leads to improved performance and market value in the long run. Digitalization boosts operational efficiency, especially in high-maturity sectors like finance and technology, guiding strategic decisions and identifying innovation subsidy areas (Cherkasova & Slepushenko, 2021). Costa et al. (2022) emphasize that achieving SDGs, particularly in emerging economies, requires technological development and business improvements that drive sustainability outcomes.

Digital transformation enhances corporate sustainability, but its impact depends on factors like ownership, industry, and location. The study, focusing on emerging markets, didn't address COVID-19's effect or explore mediating effects, suggesting directions for future research (Ji et al., 2023). Digitization enhances corporate performance, driving innovation, especially in non-state-owned enterprises and those led by executives with IT backgrounds. It also improves debt structures over time (Kuang et al., 2023).

Masoud and Basahel (2023) found that digital transformation, customer experience, and IT innovation positively impact firm performance, with customer experience having the strongest effect. Sun et al. (2022) find that digital transformation reduces debt financing costs by lowering information asymmetry and agency problems. The effect is stronger in high-tech firms, those in competitive markets, and those audited by major international firms.

Reis and Melão (2023) highlight that digital transformation is reshaping the business landscape and is expected to have long-term impacts. Kraus et al. (2022) highlighted the early stage of digital transformation (DT) research, emphasizing the need for a universal definition and further exploration into its impact on various organizations, especially smaller companies, and the role of dynamic capabilities. Dąbrowska et al. (2022) proposed an extended definition of digital transformation beyond the organizational level, addressing its broader socioeconomic and sociotechnical impacts and offering a multi-level research agenda to explore both its positive and negative effects. Feliciano-Cestero et al. (2023) identified four key factors influencing the adoption of digital transformation for firm internationalization: knowledge, leadership, digital servitization, and technological factors. Spulbar et al. (2022) found a clear correlation between digital development and the poverty headcount ratio, suggesting that enhancing digital development could be a more effective approach to reducing poverty than investing solely in basic needs.

Conclusion

The studies examined in this research highlight the pivotal role of digital transformation in advancing sustainability, enhancing Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) practices, and boosting financial performance. The integration of digital technologies has shown to facilitate green innovation and environmental responsibility, but several gaps remain in understanding the full extent of the relationship between digitalization and its environmental impact. Future research should explore whether this relationship is linear or nonlinear and further investigate the underlying mechanisms through which digital transformation influences sustainability outcomes, particularly with respect to carbon emissions.

Additionally, the research underscores the challenges posed by rapid digital transformation, such as increased resource consumption and inequality, particularly in developing regions. These issues require further exploration to understand how businesses can mitigate negative sustainability effects while leveraging digitalization's benefits. The limited scope of current studies—often focusing on specific geographic areas or short time frames—calls for broader studies that encompass a global and long-term perspective on the impact of digital transformation on sustainability.

Looking ahead, aligning digital strategies with sustainability objectives will be essential for businesses seeking sustainable growth. While this paper does not delve into the IT sector specifically, future research could explore how digital transformation within this field influences sustainability, providing key insights for business strategies and policy development.

In conclusion, to fully harness the potential of digital transformation for sustainability and financial performance, collaboration between businesses and policymakers is essential. Supportive frameworks should be developed to foster responsible digital practices, ensuring that the benefits of digital transformation contribute to both economic growth and the achievement of global sustainability objectives.

REFERENCES

1. Alam, M. M., Tahir, Y. M., Saif-Alyousfi, A. Y. H., Ali, W. B., Muda, R., & Nordin, S. (2022). Financial factors influencing environmental, social and governance ratings of public listed companies in Bursa Malaysia. *Cogent Business & Management*, 9(1) <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2118207>.
2. Camodeca, R., & Almici, A. (2021). Digital Transformation and Convergence toward the 2030 Agenda's Sustainability Development Goals: Evidence from Italian Listed Firms. *Sustainability*, 13(21), 11831. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132111831>
3. Cardinali, P. G., & De Giovanni, P. (2022). Responsible digitalization through digital technologies and green practices. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 29(4), 984–995. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2249>
4. Carroll, N., Hassan, N. R., Junglas, I. A., Hess, T., & Morgan, L. (2023b). Transform or be transformed: the importance of research on managing and sustaining digital transformations. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 32(3), 347–353. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085x.2023.2187033>
5. Cherkasova V.A., Slepushenko G.A, (2021). The Impact of digitalization on the financial performance of Russian companies. *Finance: Theory and Practice*.25(2):128-142. (In Russ.). DOI: 10.26794/2587-5671-2021-25-2-128-142
6. Costa, I., Riccotta, R., Montini, P., Stefani, E. B., De Souza Goes, R., Gaspar, M. A., Martins, F. S., Fernandes, A. A., Machado, C., Junior, Loçano, R., & Larieira, C. L. C. (2022). The Degree of Contribution of Digital Transformation Technology on Company Sustainability Areas. *Sustainability*, 14(1), 462. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14010462>
7. Dąbrowska, J., Almpantopoulou, A., Brem, A., Chesbrough, H., Cucino, V., Di Minin, A., Giones, F., Hakala, H., Marullo, C., Mention, A., Mortara, L., Nørskov, S., Nylund, P. A., Oddo, C. M., Radziwon, A., & Ritala, P. (2022). Digital transformation, for better or worse: a critical multi-level research agenda. *R and D Management*, 52(5), 930–954. <https://doi.org/10.1111/radm.12531>
8. Falzon, J., & Micallef, R. (2022). ESG Factors: How Are Stock Returns, Operating Performance, and Firm Value Impacted? *Review of Economics and Finance*, 20, 144–153. <https://doi.org/10.55365/1923.x2022.20.16>
9. Feliciano-Cestero, M. M., Ameen, N., Kotabe, M., Paul, J., & Signoret, M. (2023). Is digital transformation threatened? A systematic literature review of the factors influencing firms' digital transformation and internationalization. *Journal of Business Research*, 157, 113546. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113546>
10. Feroz, A. K., Zo, H., & Chiravuri, A. (2021b). Digital Transformation and Environmental Sustainability: A review and research agenda. *Sustainability*, 13(3), 1530. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13031530>
11. Fu, T., & Li, J. (2023). An empirical analysis of the impact of ESG on financial performance: the moderating role of digital transformation. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2023.1256052>
12. Ghobakhloo, M. (2020b). Industry 4.0, digitization, and opportunities for sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 252, 119869. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119869>
13. Ghobakhloo, M., Iranmanesh, M., Grybauskas, A., Vilkas, M., & Petraite, M. (2021b). Industry 4.0, innovation, and sustainable development: A systematic review and a roadmap to sustainable innovation. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(8), 4237–4257. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2867>
14. Guandalini, I. (2022). Sustainability through digital transformation: A systematic literature review for research guidance. *Journal of Business Research*, 148, 456–471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.05.003>

15. He, J., & Su, H. (2022). Digital Transformation and Green Innovation of Chinese Firms: The Moderating Role of Regulatory Pressure and International Opportunities. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(20), 13321. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192013321>
16. Ionașcu, I., Ionașcu, M., Nechita, E., Săcărin, M. and Minu, M., 2022. Digital Transformation, Financial Performance and Sustainability: Evidence for European Union Listed Companies. *Amfiteatru Economic*, 24(59), pp. 94-109.
17. Jardak, M. K., & Hamad, S. B. (2022). The effect of digital transformation on firm performance: evidence from Swedish listed companies. *The Journal of Risk Finance*, 23(4), 329–348. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jrf-12-2021-0199>
18. Ji, Z., Zhou, T., & Zhang, Q. (2023). The Impact of Digital Transformation on Corporate Sustainability: Evidence from Listed Companies in China. *Sustainability*, 15(3), 2117. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032117>
19. Jones, P. B., & Wynn, M. G. (2021b). The Leading Digital Technology Companies and Their Approach to Sustainable Development. *Sustainability*, 13(12), 6612. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13126612>
20. Kraus, S., Durst, S., Ferreira, J. J., Veiga, P., Kailer, N., & Weinmann, A. (2022). Digital transformation in business and management research: An overview of the current status quo. *International Journal of Information Management*, 63, 102466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466>
21. Kuang, Y., Fan, M., Fan, Y., Jiang, Y., & Bin, J. (2023b). Digitalization, financing constraints and firm performance. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2023.1090537>
22. Kürpick, C., Rasor, A., Scholtysik, M., Kühn, A., Koldewey, C., & Dumitrescu, R. (2023). An Integrative View of the Transformations towards Sustainability and Digitalization: The Case for a Dual Transformation. *Procedia CIRP*, 119, 614–619. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2023.02.155>
23. Lassala, C., Orero-Blat, M., & Ribeiro-Navarrete, S. (2021). The financial performance of listed companies in pursuit of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG). *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, 34(1), 427–449. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677x.2021.1877167>
24. Li, D., & Shen, W. (2021). Can Corporate Digitalization Promote Green Innovation? The Moderating Roles of Internal Control and Institutional Ownership. *Sustainability*, 13(24), 13983. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132413983>
25. Lin, Y., Lu, Z., & Wang, Y. (2023). The impact of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) practices on investment efficiency in China: Does digital transformation matter? *Research in International Business and Finance*, 66, 102050. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2023.102050>
26. Ma J, Gao D and Sun J (2022) Does ESG performance promote total factor productivity? Evidence from China. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 10:1063736. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2022.1063736
27. Martínez-Peláez, R., Ochoa-Brust, A. M., Rivera, S. J., Felix, V. G., Ostos, R., Brito, H., Felix, R. A., & Mena, L. (2023). Role of digital transformation for achieving sustainability: mediated role of stakeholders, key capabilities, and technology. *Sustainability*, 15(14), 11221. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151411221>
28. Masoud, R., & Basahel, S. (2023b). The effects of digital transformation on firm performance: the role of customer experience and IT innovation. *Digital*, 3(2), 109–126. <https://doi.org/10.3390/digital3020008>
29. Mavlutova, I., Spilbergs, A., Verdenhofs, A., Natrins, A., Arefjevs, I., & Volkova, T. (2022b). Digital Transformation as a driver of the Financial sector Sustainable development: An impact on financial inclusion and operational efficiency. *Sustainability*, 15(1), 207. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15010207>
30. Melo, I. C., Alves, P. N., Junior, Queiroz, G. A., Yushimito, W. F., & Pereira, J. (2023). Do We Consider Sustainability When We Measure Small and Medium Enterprises' (SMEs') Performance Passing through Digital Transformation? *Sustainability*, 15(6), 4917. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15064917>

31. Peng C, Jia X and Zou Y (2022), Does digitalization drive corporate green transformation?—Based on evidence from Chinese listed companies. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 10:963878. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2022.963878
32. Pînzaru, F., Dima, A. M., Zbucnea, A., & Veres, Z. (2022). Adopting Sustainability and Digital Transformation in Business in Romania: A Multifaceted Approach in the Context of the Just Transition. *Amfiteatru Economic*, 24(59), 28. <https://doi.org/10.24818/ea/2022/59/28>
33. Qadri, U. A., Ghani, M. B. A., Abbas, U., & Kashif, A. R. (2024). Digital technologies and social sustainability in the digital transformation age: a systematic analysis and research agenda. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoes-08-2024-0239>
34. Reis, J., & Melão, N. (2023). Digital transformation: A meta-review and guidelines for future research. *Heliyon*, 9(1), e12834. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e12834>
35. Robertstone, G., & Lapiņa, I. (2023c). Digital transformation as a catalyst for sustainability and open innovation. *Journal of Open Innovation*, 9(1), 100017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2023.100017>
36. Shobhwani, K., & Lodha, S. (2023). Impact of ESG risk scores on firm performance: An Empirical Analysis of NSE-100 Companies. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Management Research and Innovation*, 19(1), 7–18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2319510x231170910>
37. Siswanti, I., Riyadh, H. A., Nawangsari, L. C., Yusoff, Y. M., & Wibowo, M. W. (2024). The impact of digital transformation for sustainable business: the mediating role of corporate governance and financial performance. *Cogent Business & Management*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2316954>
38. Spulbar, C., Anghel, L. C., Birau, R., Ermiş, S. I., Treapăt, L., & Mitroi, A. T. (2022). Digitalization as a factor in reducing poverty and its implications in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sustainability*, 14(17), 10667. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141710667>
39. Sun, C., Zhang, Z., Vochozka, M., & Vozňáková, I. (2022). Enterprise digital transformation and debt financing cost in China's A-share listed companies. *Oeconomia Copernicana*, 13(3), 783–829. <https://doi.org/10.24136/oc.2022.023>
40. Xinxian, C., & Jianhui, C. (2022). Digital Transformation and Financial Risk Prediction of Listed Companies. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2022, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/7211033>
41. Xu, J. (2022, November 30). Role of digitalization in environment, social and governance, and sustainability: Review-based study for implications. *Frontiers*. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.961057/full>
42. Yang, G., Wang, F., Deng, F., & Xiang, X. (2023). Impact of Digital Transformation on enterprise carbon intensity: The moderating role of digital Information Resources. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(3), 2178. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20032178>
43. Zhang, C. (2022, November 17). The impact of digital transformation on corporate sustainability- new evidence from Chinese listed companies. *Frontiers*. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2022.1047418/full>