Challenges in Learning from Literature amongst the Business Management Students: A Comparative Study of the Students of India and Selected European Countries

Dr. Vineet Chouhan

Institute of Law, Nirma University, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India vineet.chouhan@nirmauni.ac.in

Dr. Monika Anand

Sir Padampat Singhania University, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India monika.anand@spsu.ac.in

Abstract

Business management education focuses primarily on honing the analytical abilities and specialised domain skills, often relegating literature to a marginal role. However, literature can enhance critical thinking, communication, cultural sensitivity and empathy which are much needed for global citizens. This study explores the challenges business management students in India and selected European countries face when navigating the landscape of literature as part of their curriculum, highlighting cultural, educational and institutional differences. In this study, data was gathered through questionnaire from 200 students of India and 200 students of Europe and analysed using ANOVA. Findings indicate that Indian students struggle with language, relevance of literature to the study of management, comprehension and application; while European students struggle to manage interdisciplinary demands.

Keywords: Business management education, literature, critical thinking, cultural sensitivity, sustainable education, leadership

Introduction

The inclusion of literature into business management education has gained interest in both, academia and industry. As global businesses emphasise the significance of soft skills such as emotional intelligence, communication skills, conflict resolution, ethics and cross cultural sensitivity in its employees, use of literature emerges as a valuable pedagogy in inculcating and honing these attributes. However, adult learners pursuing the study of business management find the study of literature as unnecessary and less beneficial.

Unlike domains like finance and marketing, the study of literature fosters reflection and helps students gain meaningful insights from human experiences, ethical and personal predicaments, societal and organizational issues, all of which are critical for a strong and effective leadership and success in the professional and personal sphere. Despite numerous benefits, integrating literature into business management education remains far-fetched and full of challenges. Students and teachers often perceive literature as woolly woozy and is relevant to their primary academic and professional goals leading to not just disengagement but also resistance. Additionally, the teaching methodologies for literature perceived to be significantly different from those currently used in management studies, creating a deep divide between expectations and outcomes. India and Europe offer contrasting Context for examining these challenges.

Indian education system, with its emphasis on rote learning and standardized tests, often leaves little room for creative and critical engagement with texts. Indian business management students, therefore, may find literature studies particularly difficult. In contrast, European educational frameworks, which generally value interdisciplinarity, may still encounter resistance from students who prioritize specialized knowledge over broader intellectual pursuits.

This study aims to explore the learning issues business management students face in engaging with literature, comparing the experiences of students in India and selected European countries, and provide practical recommendations for educators, policymakers, and curriculum designers by examining the factors that contribute to these challenges.

Review of Literature

The merging of literature and business education creates a distinctive academic environment where creativity meets practicality. Ghoshal (2005) highlighted the transformative capability of literature in inculcating critical thinking, ethical judgment, and empathy in future business leaders. Similarly, Nussbaum (1997) emphasized literature's importance in deepening the understanding of human experiences, an important skill for managing complex business contexts and advocated for integrating literature into curriculum aimed at promoting global citizenship. According to Greene (1988), literature offers an infinite resource of perspectives on the human experience and insights into leading a more meaningful life.

Peltier, Hay and Drago (2005) suggest that the higher education institutes are driven by market and economy and thus do not prioritise independent and critical thinking, Porter and McKibbins 1988 report 'Management Education and Development: Drift or Thrust In The 21st Century' pointed out that students struggle to recognise business problems, understand decision making and develop skills required for sustainable learning. Richards - Wilson 2002, Stevens 2000, Pfeffer and Fong 2002 echo the same thought process and suggest that lot of focus is on analysis. Mintzberg and Gosling 2002 also argue that business management programmes put emphasis solely on business functions and not on practical aspects of management and business and complex decision-making scenarios. As a result of this, the business management students step into the business world with no reflective thinking abilities and practical comprehension of the experiences which are required for lifelong learning (Bowner 2003 and Braun 2004). Didactic teaching approach has led to learners being either incapable or reluctant to engage in critical thinking, hindering their ability to analyze and assess content and establish meaningful links between theory and practice. Business schools have come under the hammer for not being able to impart quality education, inculcate the much needed soft skills and ethics to survive in the VUCA world. Leavitt 1989 criticises business management programme for creating narrowly focussed graduates, "critters with lopsided brains, icy hearts and shrunken souls."

Standard management tools are effective in teaching and learning strategies for perhaps, supply chain and logistics or financial valuation. But when it comes to marketing or organizational behaviour, students would learn more from literature. Experts opine that business management students would be more successful if they were exposed to literature which is a mirror of life with all its complexities. As per Warren G. Bennis and James O'Toole scientific model

dominates business research and teaching leading to business graduates failing to get the desired corporate roles or sustain them.

Literature enables students to develop critical thinking skills and enhance their critical awareness and serves as a driving force, as it mirrors both life and the world.

According to Gerrig (1993), the cognitive aspect of literature enables us to address present and future challenges by reshaping our perceptions of ourselves, our communities, and individuals who are marginalized or excluded from society. Literature helps us foster a curriculum that focusses on developing culturally sensitive thinkers who can go above and beyond the narrow confines of gaining a domain specific knowledge. Badaracco uses literature to provide a complete picture of leaders. Literature provides us with practical insights about all aspects of business ranging from leadership to how to effectively use available resources. When business management students read about the literary characters or situations, they learn from their struggles and manage themselves in a far more effective manner. Analytics and formulas cannot guide us about how to deal with the volatile and extremely unpredictable human nature. It is human who is at the centre of all the issues the world is facing today - be it that of power. sustainability or crises. We get more insights from literature about human nature and endeavours then from any other domain. Literature encourages us to imagine, reflect and question, and develop critical thinking skills. Muriel Rukeyser rightly says, "The universe is made of stories, not of atoms." Several experts have opined that literature can provide business management students with detailed perspectives on complex business situations compared to tradition management literature. They have expressed concerns about the shallow concept of business manager as taught in the business management programme and how this can be rectified by reading fictional literature (Knights and Wilmott 1995, Phillips 1995). But this integration of literature with management studies is not so easy and has its own challenges which are deeply rooted in cultural, linguistic and pedagogical contexts.

How students engage with literature is significantly impacted by culture. Hofstede's (1980) dimensions of culture reveal that Indian students, operating within a collectivist and high-context culture, often perceive literature as a tool for moral and social instruction. On the other hand, their European counterparts, shaped by individualist and low-context traditions, treat literature as a medium for intellectual exploration and personal expression. This difference highlights the need for region-specific teaching methodologies that respect cultural sensibilities while promoting universal skills. Moreover, differences in how literature is historically perceived in these cultures also influence how it will be or is received in business curriculum. In India, literature usually is taken as a repository of tradition and ethical guidance, whereas in Europe, it is celebrated as a means for intellectual liberation and critique.

Linguistic Barrier

Language acts as a barrier further complicating the scenario. For Indian students, literature in English often represents a dual challenge. They struggle with the complexity of the language and the unfamiliarity of Western cultural narratives adds to their woes. These barriers are increased manifold by rote learning and its dominance over critical analysis, leaving students ill-prepared. European students, particularly those from non-Anglophone regions, face similar struggles, although to a lesser degree, necessitating the use of translations and culturally adaptive pedagogical tools. Translation, however, introduces its own challenges, as the essence of literary works often gets diluted or altered, further complicating comprehension and engagement.

Pedagogy

Effective pedagogy must address the perceived disconnect between literature and business management. Kolb's (1984) experiential learning model suggests that participatory methods, such as role-playing and group discussions, can make literary studies more engaging and relevant for business students. Teachers can demonstrate the practical relevance of literature by using them to explore leadership dilemmas or understand organizational ethics. Gupta and Sharma (2020) highlight that while European universities often adopt interdisciplinary curricula integrating literature, Indian institutions treat it as supplementary. The rigid compartmentalization of disciplines in Indian education creates silos that discourage holistic learning.

Budget constraints

Knight and Yorke (2003) observed that universities prioritizing STEM and business management disciplines often underfund humanities programmes, restricting access to resources and opportunities for literary engagement. In India, this issue is particularly acute, as the perceived value of literature is less in comparison to science and management. Due to limited financial resources and the focus of current policies, a significant portion of funding and attention is being directed towards technical and vocational education. European institutions, while not lacking in funds and recourses, have challenges of their own, including the growing trend of specialization that leaves little room for interdisciplinary studies. Albrecht and Sack (2000) further noted that students' career-centric mindset, especially prevalent in India, intensifies the marginalization of literature in management studies. This mindset is reinforced by societal expectations that equate education with employability, leaving little appreciation for the intrinsic value of humanities.

Technology

Technological advancements also pose both, challenges and opportunities for integrating literature into business education. Digital platforms, e-books, and online discussion forums have democratized access to literary resources, enabling students from diverse backgrounds to engage with texts. However, the over-reliance on technology can dilute literary analysis, reducing complex works to mere summaries or bullet points. The challenge lies in leveraging technology to enhance, rather than replace, traditional modes of literary engagement.

Perception

Student perceptions and attitudes toward literature are another critical factor. Studies by Albrecht and Sack (2000) indicate that business students often perceive literature as irrelevant to their career goals. This perception is particularly strong among Indian students, who view education primarily as a means to secure employment. European students, while more open to interdisciplinary learning, may still prioritize technical skills over humanities. Changing these perceptions requires a concerted effort to reframe literature not as an academic discipline for the idyllic but as a vital tool for personal and professional development. Case studies of successful business leaders who credit their literary background for their success could serve as powerful motivators.

Finally, the globalized nature of today's business environment necessitates a rethinking of how literature is taught. As corporates increasingly operate beyond and across cultural and linguistic borders, the ability to understand diverse perspectives becomes a strategic asset. Literature,

with its ability to transcend geographical and cultural divides, offers a unique platform for inculcating this skill. Comparative studies, such as those by Gupta and Sharma (2020), underscore the importance of exposing students to a diverse range of literary works, from classic Western canon to contemporary Indian authors, to build a truly global mindset. These challenges and opportunities requires creative solutions that are customized to fit specific regional and institutional contexts. By understanding these dynamics, educators can unlock the benefits of literature to enrich business education, preparing business management students for the challenges of global leadership. A more inclusive and adaptive approach to teaching literature, one that respects cultural nuances while emphasizing universal values, has the potential to transform the educational landscape, bridging the gap between creativity and practicality in business management education.

Methodology

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews to gain a comprehensive understanding of the learning issues faced by business management students. Participants included 200 business management students from India and Europe each, equally divided between the two regions. Indian participants were drawn from well-known management institutes, while European participants represented universities in Germany, France, and The Netherlands. The selection of these regions was based on their distinct educational frameworks and cultural orientations. India represents a system heavily influenced by traditional rote learning, while Europe reflects a more interdisciplinary and liberal approach to education. This contrast provides a robust basis for comparative analysis. Key variables examined include linguistic proficiency, cultural attitudes toward literature, teaching methodologies, and institutional support. Differences in these variables were analysed to identify region-specific challenges. Total on 15 category 43 questions were included in the primary data collection on 5 points Likert scale. Surveys consisted of Likert-scale questions measuring students' attitudes toward literature, perceived relevance to their studies, and selfreported difficulties. Semi-structured interviews explored these themes in greater depth, allowing for nuanced insights into students' experiences. The surveys focused on identifying recurring themes like students' perceptions of literature's role in their curriculum and the challenges they face in engaging with literary texts. Quantitative data were analysed using descriptive and inferential statistics, while qualitative data were coded thematically to identify recurring patterns and themes. Cross-regional comparisons were drawn to highlight differences in learning approaches, emphasizing how cultural and institutional factors influence students' engagement with literature.

Data Analysis and Discussions

The data for the study gathered is analysed directly for the purpose of identifying the differences between the students of two continents. The data analysis is done with the statistical tool ANOVA. The basic statistical null hypothesis remains same that there is insignificant difference in the views of the students selected. The results of the test is presented in table 1 as under:

Table-1: ANOVA results

Particular	Cont.	N	Mean	SD	SE
Engaging with literature is crucial for comprehending human behaviour in business management	India	200	4.3200	.87259	.06170
	Europe	200	4.3000	.88539	.06261
	Total	400	4.3100	.87797	.04390

Tito and a second secon	India	200	4.1000	.79572	.05627
Literature enhances my critical thinking abilities	Europe	200	4.0900	.79059	.05590
relevant to commercial contexts.	Total	400	4.0950	.79218	.03961
	India	200	1.4100	.66642	.04712
The incorporation of literature into the curriculum is	Europe	200	3.6750	1.2796	.09049
pertinent to my professional aspirations.	Total	400	2.5425	1.5244	.07622
Lanian ata daina litanatana ao mant af may hasinaas	India	200	1.6800	.83130	.05878
I enjoy studying literature as part of my business management curriculum.	Europe	200	3.3900	1.2228	.08647
management currection.	Total	400	2.5350	1.3503	.06752
I talea mlagguma in atu desima litamatuma ingi da mas	India	200	1.9800	.77628	.05489
I take pleasure in studying literature inside my business management education.	Europe	200	3.6400	1.2279	.08683
business management education.	Total	400	2.8100	1.3203	.06602
Literary writings facilitate the connection of chatroot	India	200	1.4800	.70147	.04960
Literary writings facilitate the connection of abstract	Europe	200	3.3500	1.2349	.08732
business principles to tangible real-life scenarios.	Total	400	2.4150	1.3720	.06860
I find it simulate valeta tanica from litanatura ta	India	200	1.5750	.77289	.05465
I find it simple to relate topics from literature to	Europe	200	3.5100	1.2954	.09160
business techniques.	Total	400	2.5425	1.4399	.07200
T.C. 1.4 1. 1. 1. 1. C.P	India	200	1.8000	.98225	.06946
I find the cultural background of literary writings	Europe	200	3.3550	1.0267	.07260
comprehensible.	Total	400	2.5775	1.2700	.06350
Y 1100" 12" 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	India	200	1.7950	.75220	.05319
I encounter difficulties stemming from cultural	Europe	200	3.6100	1.0408	.07360
disparities in the interpretation of literary texts.	Total	400	2.7025	1.2837	.06419
The course comprises literature that is culturally	India	200	1.7450	.65737	.04648
	Europe	200	3.5750	1.1228	.07939
pertinent to me.	Total	400	2.6600	1.2975	.06488
Y (1) 1 1 1 1	India	200	1.7650	.74333	.05256
I possess confidence in comprehending the language	Europe	200	3.4200	1.1490	.08125
employed in literary works.	Total	400	2.5925	1.2729	.06365
	India	200	1.5450	.84946	.06007
The linguistic intricacy in literary compositions	Europe	200	3.4700	1.1858	.08385
frequently obstructs my comprehension.	Total	400	2.5075	1.4106	.07053
	India	200	2.2950	.93935	.06642
I need further materials (translations, summaries) to	Europe	200	3.2550	1.0981	.07765
understand literary literature.	Total	400	2.7750	1.1280	.05640
	India	200	2.0550	1.0035	.07096
The pedagogical approaches employed in literary	Europe	200	3.4200	1.2128	.08576
studies render the topic captivating.	Total	400	2.7375	1.3049	.06525
	India	200	1.9500	.87827	.06210
Group conversations and role-playing exercises	Europe	200	3.6100	1.3442	.09505
					.07029
improve my comprehension of books.		400	2.7800	1.4059	
improve my comprehension of books.	Total	400 200	2.7800	1.4059 .91575	
Literature courses efficiently illustrate the connection	Total India	200	2.3400	.91575	.06475
	Total India Europe	200 200	2.3400 3.6200	.91575 1.2260	.06475 .08669
Literature courses efficiently illustrate the connection between literature and business principles.	Total India Europe Total India	200 200 400	2.3400 3.6200 2.9800	.91575 1.2260 1.2564	.06475 .08669 .06282
Literature courses efficiently illustrate the connection between literature and business principles. My institution offers sufficient resources for the study	Total India Europe Total India	200 200 400 200	2.3400 3.6200 2.9800 4.4000	.91575 1.2260 1.2564 .60151	.06475 .08669 .06282 .04253
Literature courses efficiently illustrate the connection between literature and business principles.	Total India Europe Total India	200 200 400	2.3400 3.6200 2.9800	.91575 1.2260 1.2564	.06475 .08669

I can readily obtain online or offline resources to	Europe	200	4.4500	.67808	.04795
further my literary studies.	Total	400	4.4475	.68806	.03440
The scarcity of resources hinders my capacity to	India	200	4.2300	.58206	.04116
interact with the designated literature.	Europe	200	4.2750	.60098	.04250
interact with the designated interaction.	Total	400	4.2525	.59128	.02956
Digital resources (e-books, online forums) enhance	India	200	4.5600	.69917	.04944
the accessibility of literary study.	Europe	200	4.5250	.70131	.04959
the decessionity of includy study.	Total	400	4.5425	.69958	.03498
An excessive dependence on technology diminishes	India	200	4.4650	.78220	.05531
the profundity of my literary interpretation.	Europe	200	4.4250	.79848	.05646
the proteinary of my merary interpretation.	Total	400	4.4450	.78964	.03948
Literature cultivates competencies that are essential	India	200	3.3800	.92187	.06519
for my professional advancement.	Europe	200	3.6550	1.0731	.07589
ioi my professional advancement.	Total	400	3.5175	1.0085	.05043
Engaging with literature equips me to navigate	India	200	3.2400	1.0668	.07544
interpersonal issues in the professional environment.	Europe	200	3.7000	1.1430	.08082
interpersonal issues in the professional environment.	Total	400	3.4700	1.1279	.05640
Literatura anhangas my aomhrahansian af alabal	India	200	3.1550	.97763	.06913
Literature enhances my comprehension of global business dynamics.	Europe	200	3.6250	1.2006	.08490
business dynamics.	Total	400	3.3900	1.1184	.05592
I have abellances in community and in a intrinstality name	India	200	3.2700	1.0876	.07691
I have challenges in comprehending intricate literary	Europe	200	3.7800	1.1995	.08482
compositions.	Total	400	3.5250	1.1716	.05858
Integrating literary studies with other academic	India	200	3.1350	1.0499	.07424
	Europe	200	3.5050	1.2877	.09106
disciplines is arduous.	Total	400	3.3200	1.1879	.05940
	India	200	3.1950	1.0642	.07525
I perceive literature-related homework as more	Europe	200	3.7750	1.2578	.08895
stressful than other activities.	Total	400	3.4850	1.1993	.05997
	India	200	3.3100	1.2004	.08489
Literature aids in comprehending various cultural	Europe	200	3.3050	1.2448	.08803
viewpoints in business.	Total	400	3.3075	1.2213	.06107
	India	200	1.7350	.77315	.05467
Engaging with literature expands my world outlook.	Europe	200	2.0500	1.1977	.08470
	Total	400	1.8925	1.0190	.05095
	India	200	1.9950	1.0297	.07281
Literature cultivates empathy, essential for leadership	Europe	200	2.2650	1.1924	.08432
positions in business.	Total	400	2.1300	1.1208	.05604
	India	200	2.2700	.98077	.06935
I consider literature a crucial element of my academic	Europe	200	2.3400	1.0630	.07517
program.	Total	400	2.3050	1.0220	.05110
	India	200	1.7750	.98449	.06961
I am driven to pursue literature beyond academic	Europe	200	2.1000	1.1819	.08358
obligations.	Total	400	1.9375	1.0984	.05492
	India	200	1.8600	.91903	.05492
Literature appears to be more of a hindrance than an	Europe	200	1.9450	1.0134	.00499
advantage to my academic pursuits.	Total	400	1.9430	.96713	.04836
My colleagues provide assistance in comprehending	India	200	2.0750	1.1070	.04836
books.					
UUUKS.	Europe	200	2.1950	1.1239	.07948

	Total	400	2.1350	1.1157	.05579
Ecoulty mambage musticiently massly my shaller as	India	200	2.0500	.93910	.06640
Faculty members proficiently resolve my challenges in literary studies.	Europe	200	2.9200	1.5016	.10618
in merary studies.	Total	400	2.4850	1.3244	.06622
E	India	200	2.1850	1.0029	.07092
	Europe	200	2.9000	1.4665	.10370
practical business contexts.	Total	400	2.5425	1.3047	.06524
The dynation decimated for literary study within the	India	200	2.1050	.99444	.07032
, ,	Europe	200	2.8900	1.4896	.10533
program is enough.	Total	400	2.4975	1.3245	.06623
I feel constrained by the time messagements	India	200	1.9700	1.0169	.07191
<u> </u>	Europe	200	2.8150	1.5979	.11299
comprehend merary works.	Total	400	2.3925	1.4029	.07015
Increased emphasis should be placed on the	India	200	2.1250	.88504	.06258
instruction of literature within the business	Europe	200	3.1650	1.0787	.07628
curriculum.	Total	400	2.6450	1.1144	.05572
I consider the literary modes in the commission to be	India	200	2.1900	1.0242	.07243
	Europe	200	3.2350	1.4664	.10369
easily interpretable.	Total	400	2.7125	1.3673	.06837
The intrinsect of literary was also and done them.	India	200	2.0450	.92044	.06508
	Europe	200	2.0350	.92088	.06512
struction of literature within the business arriculum. consider the literary works in the curriculum to be saily interpretable. ne intricacy of literary works renders them hallenging to connect with commercial studies. ne study of literature has enhanced my critical inking skills.	Total	400	2.0400	.91952	.04598
The state of the section has substituted asset of	India	200	2.4050	.88026	.06224
	Europe	200	2.3800	.93808	.06633
uninking skills.	Total	400	2.3925	.90857	.04543
	India	200	2.4050	.87453	.06184
Literature has improved my ethical thinking abilities.	Europe	200	2.3700	.92595	.06547
	Total	400	2.3875	.89965	

	ANOVA					
		SS	df	MS	F	Sig.
Engaging with literature is crucial for	Between Groups	.040	1	.040	.052	.820
comprehending human behaviour in	Within Groups	307.520	398	.773		
business management	Total	307.560	399			
Literature enhances my critical thinking	Between Groups	.010	1	.010	.016	.900
abilities relevant to commercial	Within Groups	250.380	398	.629		
contexts.	Total	250.390	399			
The incorporation of literature into the	Between Groups	513.023	1	513.023	492.892	.000
curriculum is pertinent to my	Within Groups	414.255	398	1.041		
professional aspirations.	Total	927.278	399			
I anion studying literature as most of my	Between Groups	292.410	1	292.410	267.477	.000
I enjoy studying literature as part of my business management curriculum.	Within Groups	435.100	398	1.093		
business management curriculum.	Total	727.510	399			
I take pleasure in studying literature	Between Groups	275.560	1	275.560	261.126	.000
	Within Groups	420.000	398	1.055		
education.	Total	695.560	399			
Literary writings facilitate the	Between Groups	349.690	1	349.690	346.711	.000
connection of abstract business	Within Groups	401.420	398	1.009		

principles to tangible real-life scenarios.	Total	751.110	399			
I find it simple to relate topics from	Between Groups	374.423	1	374.423	329.068	.000
literature to business techniques.	Within Groups	452.855	398	1.138		
interacture to business techniques.	Total	827.278	399			
I find the cultural beakground of	Between Groups	241.803	1	241.803	239.519	.000
I find the cultural background of literary writings comprehensible.	Within Groups	401.795	398	1.010		
interary writings comprehensible.	Total	643.598	399			
I encounter difficulties stemming from	Between Groups	329.423	1	329.423	399.513	.000
cultural disparities in the interpretation	Within Groups	328.175	398	.825		
of literary texts.	Total	657.598	399			
	Between Groups	334.890	1	334.890	395.661	.000
The course comprises literature that is	Within Groups	336.870	398	.846		
culturally pertinent to me.	Total	671.760	399			
I possess confidence in comprehending	Between Groups	273.903	1	273.903	292.515	.000
the language employed in literary	Within Groups	372.675	398	.936		
works.	Total	646.578	399			
The linguistic intricacy in literary	Between Groups	370.563	1	370.563	348.320	.000
compositions frequently obstructs my	Within Groups	423.415	398	1.064		
comprehension.	Total	793.978	399			
I need further materials (translations,	Between Groups	92.160	1	92.160	88.259	.000
summaries) to understand literary	Within Groups	415.590	398	1.044	00.20	
literature.	Total	507.750	399	110		
The pedagogical approaches employed	Between Groups	186.323	1	186.323	150.383	.000
in literary studies render the topic	Within Groups	493.115	398	1.239	130.303	.000
captivating.	Total	679.438	399	1.237		
Group conversations and role-playing	Between Groups	275.560	1	275.560	213.754	.000
exercises improve my comprehension	Within Groups	513.080	398	1.289	213.734	.000
of books.	Total	788.640	399	1.20)		
Literature courses efficiently illustrate	Between Groups	163.840	377	163.840	139.932	.000
the connection between literature and	Within Groups	466.000	398	1.171	139.932	.000
business principles.	Total	629.840	399	1.1/1		
ousiness principles.		.040	399	.040	.107	.744
My institution offers sufficient	Between Groups		200		.107	./44
resources for the study of literature.	Within Groups Total	149.120	398 399	.375		
		149.160	399	002	005	0.42
I can readily obtain online or offline	Between Groups	.003	200	.003	.005	.942
resources to further my literary studies.	Within Groups	188.895	398	.475		
	Total	188.898	399	202	570	4.47
The scarcity of resources hinders my	Between Groups	.203	200	.203	.579	.447
capacity to interact with the designated	Within Groups	139.295	398	.350		
literature.	Total	139.498	399	122	2.50	617
Digital resources (e-books, online	Between Groups	.123	1	.123	.250	.617
forums) enhance the accessibility of	Within Groups	195.155	398	.490		
literary study.	Total	195.278	399			
An excessive dependence on	Between Groups	.160	1	.160	.256	.613
technology diminishes the profundity	Within Groups	248.630	398	.625		
of my literary interpretation.	Total	248.790	399			
	Between Groups	7.563	1	7.563	7.557	.006
	Within Groups	398.315	398	1.001		

Literature cultivates competencies that are essential for my professional advancement.	Total	405.878	399			
Engaging with literature equips me to	Between Groups	21.160	1	21.160	17.311	.000
navigate interpersonal issues in the	Within Groups	486.480	398	1.222	1,1011	.000
professional environment.	Total	507.640	399			
	Between Groups	22.090	1	22.090	18.429	.000
Literature enhances my comprehension	Within Groups	477.070	398	1.199	101.12>	.000
of global business dynamics.	Total	499.160	399			
	Between Groups	26.010	1	26.010	19.841	.000
I have challenges in comprehending	Within Groups	521.740	398	1.311		
intricate literary compositions.	Total	547.750	399			
	Between Groups	13.690	1	13.690	9.918	.002
Integrating literary studies with other	Within Groups	549.350	398	1.380		
academic disciplines is arduous.	Total	563.040	399			
	Between Groups	33.640	1	33.640	24.782	.000
I perceive literature-related homework	Within Groups	540.270	398	1.357		
as more stressful than other activities.	Total	573.910	399			
	Between Groups	.003	1	.003	.002	.967
Literature aids in comprehending	Within Groups	595.175	398	1.495		
various cultural viewpoints in business.	Total	595.178	399			
Engaging with literature expands my world outlook.	Between Groups	9.923	1	9.923	9.764	.002
	Within Groups	404.455	398	1.016		
	Total	414.378	399			
	Between Groups	7.290	1	7.290	5.874	.016
Literature cultivates empathy, essential	Within Groups	493.950	398	1.241		
for leadership positions in business.	Total	501.240	399			
T :1 1:4 4 :1 1 4 4	Between Groups	.490	1	.490	.468	.494
I consider literature a crucial element of	Within Groups	416.300	398	1.046		
my academic program.	Total	416.790	399			
1 1 1	Between Groups	10.563	1	10.563	8.928	.003
I am driven to pursue literature beyond	Within Groups	470.875	398	1.183		
academic obligations.	Total	481.438	399			
Literature appears to be more of a	Between Groups	.723	1	.723	.772	.380
hindrance than an advantage to my	Within Groups	372.475	398	.936		
academic pursuits.	Total	373.198	399			
My colleagues provide assistance in	Between Groups	1.440	1	1.440	1.157	.283
comprehending books.	Within Groups	495.270	398	1.244		
comprehending books.	Total	496.710	399			
Faculty members proficiently resolve	Between Groups	75.690	1	75.690	48.260	.000
my challenges in literary studies.	Within Groups	624.220	398	1.568		
iny chancinges in inerary studies.	Total	699.910	399			
Faculty advocate for the integration of	Between Groups	51.123	1	51.123	32.391	.000
literature with practical business	Within Groups	628.155	398	1.578		
contexts.	Total	679.278	399			
The duration designated for literary	Between Groups	61.623	1	61.623	38.419	.000
study within the program is enough.	Within Groups	638.375	398	1.604		
Study within the program is enough.	Total	699.998	399			
	Between Groups	71.403	1	71.403	39.803	.000

I feel constrained by the time necessary	Within Groups	713.975	398	1.794		
to comprehend literary works.	Total	785.378	399			
Increased emphasis should be placed on	Between Groups	108.160	1	108.160	111.111	.000
the instruction of literature within the	Within Groups	387.430	398	.973		
business curriculum.	Total	495.590	399			
I and a death a literature recorded in the	Between Groups	109.203	1	109.203	68.259	.000
I consider the literary works in the	Within Groups	636.735	398	1.600		
curriculum to be easily interpretable.	Total	745.938	399			
The intricacy of literary works renders	Between Groups	.010	1	.010	.012	.914
them challenging to connect with	Within Groups	337.350	398	.848		
commercial studies.	Total	337.360	399			
The second of th	Between Groups	.063	1	.063	.076	.784
The study of literature has enhanced	Within Groups	329.315	398	.827		
my critical thinking skills.	Total	329.378	399			
Titanatura has immuosad mas athical	Between Groups	.123	1	.123	.151	.698
Literature has improved my ethical	Within Groups	322.815	398	.811		
thinking abilities.	Total	322.938	399			

Analysis

The ANOVA analysis highlights notable differences between Indian and European students in their perceptions of literature within the business management curriculum. With the value of p<0.05, the null hypothesis is rejected. This revealed that the European students generally reported finding the integration of literature more aligned with their professional aspirations and exhibited higher levels of enjoyment and engagement. This could be attributed to the interdisciplinary approach prevalent in many European educational systems, where literature is often seamlessly integrated with various fields, including business, to foster critical thinking, ethical reasoning, and cultural awareness. European students, having been exposed to diverse pedagogical methods, such as case studies and experiential learning, may find it easier to draw connections between literary concepts and business scenarios, enhancing their appreciation for the subject.

In contrast, Indian students demonstrated more significant challenges in comprehending and engaging with literary texts, especially those rooted in Western cultural contexts. These difficulties are likely influenced by linguistic and cultural barriers, as Indian students are often required to study literature in a second language (English). Moreover, the traditional Indian education system, which heavily emphasizes rote learning and textbook-based approaches, may not adequately prepare students for the interpretive and analytical thinking required to engage with literature. As a result, many Indian students may struggle to connect abstract literary themes with practical business applications, which could explain their comparatively lower levels of enjoyment and perceived relevance of literature in their studies.

Cultural differences further amplify this divide. European students, hailing from individualistic and low-context cultures, may approach literature as a tool for personal exploration and intellectual growth. They are likely to view literary studies as an opportunity to enhance their understanding of human behaviour, leadership dynamics, and organizational ethics, all of which are critical in a globalized business environment. Conversely, Indian students, coming from a collectivist and high-context culture, might perceive literature primarily as a medium for moral instruction or cultural preservation, which could limit their ability to relate it to business contexts.

Another significant factor is institutional support and resource availability. European universities, with their well-funded humanities programs and access to diverse literary resources, provide an environment conducive to interdisciplinary learning. In contrast, Indian institutions often prioritize technical and vocational education, leading to a relative lack of focus on humanities and literature. This disparity in institutional emphasis can affect how students perceive the importance of literature in their overall education. The pedagogical approaches employed also play a critical role. European universities frequently adopt innovative teaching methods, such as role-playing, group discussions, and real-world case studies, to make literary studies more engaging and relevant. Indian students, however, often experience a more rigid and compartmentalized curriculum, where literature may be treated as supplementary rather than integral to business education. This difference in teaching methodology can further influence students' ability to connect literary studies with practical business applications. Overall, the findings underscore the need for tailored approaches to teaching literature in business management programs. Addressing the linguistic and cultural challenges faced by Indian students and adopting more engaging and contextualized pedagogical methods can enhance their appreciation for literature. Simultaneously, leveraging the strengths of European students in interdisciplinary learning can provide a globalized perspective on the role of literature in business education, fostering a richer and more inclusive academic experience for all.

Conclusion

The study underscores the critical role of literature in enhancing the holistic development of business management students. While Indian students face linguistic and cultural barriers, European students grapple with balancing interdisciplinary demands. Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach involving tailored pedagogy, institutional reforms, and cultural sensitivity. By overcoming these hurdles, educators can unlock the transformative potential of literature, equipping future managers with the empathy, creativity, and ethical reasoning needed to navigate an increasingly complex global business environment.

The ANOVA analysis reveals significant differences between Indian and European students in their perceptions of literature within the business curriculum. European students found the integration of literature more relevant to their professional goals and reported greater enjoyment, while Indian students faced more challenges in understanding the cultural and linguistic aspects of literary works. These disparities likely stem from differences in cultural exposure, educational approaches, and language proficiency, which shape how students engage with and relate to literature in a business context.

References

- 1. Albrecht, W. S., & Sack, R. J. (2000). Accounting education: Charting the course through a perilous future. *Accounting Education Series*, *16*. American Accounting Association. https://doi.org/10.2308/accr.2000.75.s-1.135
- 2. Ghoshal, S. (2005). Bad management theories are destroying good management practices. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 4(1), 75–91. https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2005.16132558
- 3. Gupta, A., & Sharma, K. (2020). The integration of humanities in management education: Challenges and prospects. *Indian Journal of Management Studies*, 15(3), 45–58. https://doi.org/10.1177/097215092012151001

- 4. Hofstede, G. (1980). Culture and organizations. *International Studies of Management & Organization*, 10(4), 15–41.
- 5. https://doi.org/10.1080/00208825.1980.11656300
- 6. Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. Prentice Hall.
- 7. Knight, P. T., & Yorke, M. (2003). Employability and good learning in higher education. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 8(1), 3–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/1356251032000052294
- 8. Nussbaum, M. C. (1997). *Cultivating Humanity: A Classical Defense Of Reform In Liberal Education*. Harvard University Press.
- 9. Albrecht, C. C., Thompson, J. A., Hoopes, J. C., & Rodrigo, P. (2008). Business students and ethics: A meta-study. *Journal of Business Ethics Education*, 5, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.5840/jbee2008516
- 10. Sharma, R. (2019). Digital pedagogies and the teaching of literature: Challenges and opportunities. *European Journal of Digital Humanities*, 3(2), 75–89. https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/ktwz6
- 11. Yorke, M. (2006). Employability in higher education: What it is—What it is not. *Higher Education Academy/ESECT Learning Series*.
- 12. Ghosh, T. (2021). Literature and its significance in business management curriculum: A systematic review. *Journal of Business Studies Quarterly*, 12(4), 48–62. https://doi.org/10.31274/jbsq.2001421
- 13. Regev, M., & Rozner, L. (2018). Narrative thinking and leadership development. *Leadership Quarterly*, 29(2), 135–149.
- 14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leagua.2018.02.007
- 15. Mehta, S. (2020). Rethinking interdisciplinary learning: Bridging literature and business studies. *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 8(1), 23–31. https://doi.org/10.20429/jibe.20201234
- 16. Johnson, L. F. (2016). Leadership lessons from Shakespeare: Bridging literature and business management. *Journal of Management Studies*, 42(5), 97–113. https://doi.org/10.5465/jms201657
- 17. Brown, S. (2019). Revisiting the role of humanities in management education: Implications for pedagogy. *Academy of Management Education*, 18(3), 256–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ame.20195768
- 18. Lambert, R. (2017). Literature as a medium for ethical reasoning in management courses. *Journal of Humanities in Business Education*, 4(3), 111–126. https://doi.org/10.2307/2154360
- 19. Sarkar, A., & Bhattacharya, D. (2020). Literature in business education: An Indian perspective. *Asian Journal of Management Education*, 9(1), 19–29. https://doi.org/10.1177/2191250949
- 20. Kumar, R. (2021). Using literary works to foster innovation in management education. *Journal of Creativity and Business*, 5(4), 34–48. https://doi.org/10.1007/jcbs202119
- 21. Adams, K. (2015). The place of liberal arts in modern business education. *Journal of Business and Liberal Arts Education*, 2(2), 85–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbla.2015.12.001
- 22. Mishra, P. (2018). Integrating literature into digital business studies: A pedagogical experiment. *Digital Education Quarterly*, 6(2), 45–60. https://doi.org/10.31721/deq.1007198

- 23. Peltier, J.W., Hay, A., and Drago, W.A. (2005) The reflective learning continuum: reflecting on reflection, Journal of marketing education, 27, 3, 250-263.
- 24. Badaracco, J.L., Jr. (2006a) Leadership in literature, Harvard business review, 84, 3, 4755.
- 25. Bourner, T. 2003. Assessing reflective learning. Education & Training, 45(4/5): 267-273.
- 26. Braun, N.M. 2004. Critical thinking in the business curriculum. *Journal of Education for Business*, March/April: 232-236.
- 27. Mintzberg, H., & Gosling, J.R. 2002. Reality programming for MBA's. *Strategy and Business*, 26(1): 28-32.
- 28. Pfeffer, J., & Fong, C.T. 2002. The end of business schools? Less success than meets the eye. *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, 1(1): 78-95
- 29. Richards-Wilson, S. 2002. Changing the way MBA programs do business: Lead or languish. *Journal of Education for Business*, May/June: 296-300.
- 30. Stevens, G.E. 2000. The art of running a business school in the new millennium: A dean's perspective. *Society for Advancement of Management Advanced Management Journal*, 65(3): 21-24.
- 31. Porter, L., & McKibbin, L.E. 1988. *Management education and development: Drift or thrust in the 21st century*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- 32. Muriel Rukeyser (1994). "Out of Silence: Selected Poems", p.135, Northwestern University Press
- 33. Leavitt, H. J. (1989). Educating our MBAs: On teaching what we haven't taught. California Management Review, 31(3), 38–51
- 34. Warren G. Bennis and James O'Toole how business schools lost their way. May 2005