European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 15, Issue 1 (2025)
http://eelet.org.uk

Enhancing the Multi-Level Governance Framework for Sustainable Public Procurement in India: Emerging Challenges and Future Prospects

Yashveer Sangwan[1],
[1]Research Scholar, Sushant University, Gurugram
Dr. Gunjan A. Rana[2],
[2]Professor, Sushant University, Gurugram
Aakash Yadav[3]
[3]Research Scholar, Sushant University, Gurugram

ABSTRACT
In this paper, we take a fresh look at the hurdles and opportunities of putting Sustainable Public Procurement (SPP) into practice across India's federal system. With public procurement accounting for nearly 20–30% of our GDP, ensuring that every layer of government follows sustainable practices is more than just a bureaucratic goal—it's an economic and environmental necessity. Drawing on a blend of methods—including comparative case studies, regression analysis of CPWD tenders, and insights from stakeholder interviews—we propose a comprehensive Multi-Level Governance (MLG) framework. This framework, which puts digital tools like blockchain at the forefront, aims to boost transparency and accountability in procurement. By examining states such as Kerala and Uttar Pradesh, we show how varying policy priorities and gaps in digital integration can be addressed through integrated national legislation and a dedicated Central-State Coordination Council. Our analysis, which also reflects current industry practices, suggests that the future of SPP in India rests on smart coordination and digital transformation.

I. Introduction

A. Background and Context

India's public procurement is not just a routine administrative process—it's a major lever for sustainable development, representing roughly 20–30% of our nation's GDP. However, because procurement power is shared between central and state governments, we see a lot of variation in how sustainable practices are implemented. Even though bodies like the Central Public Works Department (CPWD) have set high standards for environmental sustainability, inconsistent state-level practices continue to slow progress toward our national sustainability goals.

B. Research Objectives

This paper sets out to:

1. Explore how India's federal structure affects the consistency of sustainable procurement practices.
2. Propose an innovative Multi-Level Governance framework that makes the most of digital tools to improve transparency.
3. Identify the best practices and systemic roadblocks at the state level.
4. Evaluate how emerging digital technologies, such as blockchain, can streamline and secure the procurement process.

C. Significance of the Study

By addressing the fragmentation in India's decentralized procurement system, this work not only contributes to academic debates on sustainable procurement but also offers a practical roadmap for policy reforms. In our view, these ideas could be particularly useful for other emerging economies facing similar challenges, combining theoretical insights with real-world solutions.

II. Literature Review

A. Conceptual Foundations of Sustainable Public Procurement

Sustainable Public Procurement (SPP) has evolved into a multifaceted discipline that integrates environmental, social, and economic objectives into government purchasing decisions. Initially, SPP was predominantly associated with environmental concerns, but its scope has broadened over time to encompass social equity and economic sustainability. This broader perspective is underscored by international institutions such as the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP, 2021) and the European Commission (2018), which advocate for lifecycle costing and holistic sustainability assessments. The shift towards a more inclusive framework highlights how procurement can be a strategic tool in achieving broader developmental goals.

B. Global Comparative Frameworks

Comparative studies provide valuable insights into the operationalization of SPP across different regions. In the European Union, for example, stringent regulatory frameworks drive high compliance with sustainability standards,

particularly in energy efficiency and waste management. These practices serve as benchmarks for emerging economies that are still developing their procurement policies. Researchers such as Testa et al. (2016) and Walker et al. (2014) have documented that while European models emphasize strict regulatory controls, countries like India face challenges due to the inherent complexity of a decentralized governance system. Such comparative analyses help identify best practices that can be tailored to local contexts while maintaining global sustainability objectives.

C. Federalism and Public Procurement in the Indian Context

The federal structure of India, as outlined in its Constitution, divides procurement responsibilities among central, state, and local governments. This division-articulated in the Seventh Schedule-has led to diverse interpretations and implementations of SPP. Research by Chakraborty (2020) illustrates that while the central government often promotes long-term sustainability (e.g., through carbon reduction initiatives), many state governments prioritize immediate fiscal concerns. Complementary studies by Kumar & Rao (2022) and Singh & Mehta (2023) emphasize that this misalignment necessitates more cohesive coordination mechanisms to bridge the gap between central directives and state-level practices. The challenges inherent in this decentralized system underscore the need for a unified policy framework that harmonizes diverse local practices with national sustainability goals.

D. The Role of Digital Governance and Emerging Technologies

Digital transformation has emerged as a critical factor in modernizing public procurement processes. The integration of technologies such as blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI) offers promising avenues for enhancing transparency, traceability, and accountability. Pilot projects in Kerala have shown that blockchain can improve audit efficiencies significantly-findings supported by Gupta & Sharma (2023) and further highlighted in reports by the World Economic Forum (2023). Moreover, initiatives by NITI Aayog (2022) stress that digital platforms not only streamline procurement procedures but also provide robust data analytics capabilities that can drive better policy decisions. These technological advancements are essential for addressing inefficiencies and corruption in public procurement, thereby reinforcing the sustainability agenda.

E. Synthesis of Existing Research and Identification of Gaps

While the current body of literature offers rich insights into both theoretical and practical aspects of SPP, several gaps remain. Many studies, such as those by Mukherjee (2021) and Chakraborty (2020), tend to examine regulatory frameworks and environmental criteria in isolation. There is, however, a clear need for integrative models that simultaneously address digital innovation, decentralized governance, and local adaptation. Additionally, empirical evidence regarding the scalability of digital solutions in diverse socio-economic settings is limited. Future research should aim to bridge these gaps by adopting multidisciplinary approaches that combine quantitative analyses with qualitative insights from key stakeholders, including procurement officials, vendors, and policy makers. Such studies would not only enhance our understanding of SPP dynamics but also inform the design of more cohesive and adaptable procurement frameworks.

III. Methodology

A. Research Design and Approach

We adopted a mixed-methods approach to gain a well-rounded understanding of the issues:

- Qualitative Analysis:
  We compared case studies from Kerala-where SPP practices are relatively advanced-with those from Uttar Pradesh, where implementation lags. This was supplemented by a thorough review of policy documents from both central and state levels.
- Quantitative Analysis:
  We conducted a regression analysis on 500 CPWD tenders from 2018 to 2023 to track trends and identify correlations.
- Stakeholder Interviews:
  Interviews with 15 procurement officers and vendors added depth to our findings by revealing on-the-ground challenges and innovations.

B. Data Sources

Our study drew on a range of sources, including the Government e-Marketplace (GeM) portal, state tender databases, SDG India Index Reports, and official publications from the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change. Additional insights came from recent reports by the World Economic Forum on digital transformation in public procurement.

European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 15, Issue 1 (2025)
http://eelet.org.uk

IV. Analysis of Federal Challenges in SPP Implementation

A. Divergent Policy Priorities

One of the main challenges is the misalignment between central policies, which emphasize long-term sustainability (like carbon reduction), and state policies that often prioritize short-term financial savings. For example, while the central government focuses on carbon reduction at a 75% emphasis, many states lean more toward cost savings. This divergence not only creates confusion but also undermines unified progress toward sustainable procurement.

B. Digital Governance Gaps

Even though digital tools—such as the green filters available on the GeM portal—are intended to streamline SPP, their use is inconsistent. Approximately 40% of states effectively utilize these tools, leaving significant gaps in transparency and accountability.

The regression model considered the following independent variables:

- **Digital Feature Usage (%):** Proportion of tenders incorporating digital governance tools.
- **Vendor Compliance (%):** Proportion of vendors meeting established SPP guidelines.
- **Tender Value (INR million):** Monetary value of each tender.

The regression equation is specified as:
$S=\beta 0+\beta 1D+\beta 2V+\beta 3T+\epsilon$
where:

- SSS is the Sustainability Score,
- DDD represents Digital Feature Usage,
- VVV stands for Vendor Compliance,
- TTT denotes Tender Value, and
- ϵ\epsilon is the error term.

Variable	Coefficient	Standard Error	p-Value
Digital Feature Usage (%)	0.08	0.02	< 0.01
Vendor Compliance (%)	0.05	0.015	< 0.05
Tender Value (INR million)	0.002	0.001	< 0.05

Interpretation:

- **Digital Feature Usage:** An increase of 10 percentage points in digital tool adoption is associated with an average increase of 0.8 units in the Sustainability Score.
- **Vendor Compliance:** Higher compliance correlates with improved sustainability outcomes.
- **Tender Value:** Although larger tender values contribute positively, the effect size is modest.

The model achieved an adjusted R^2 of 0.65, indicating that 65% of the variance in the Sustainability Score is explained by these variables. This finding corroborates earlier research that underscores the importance of digital integration in enhancing procurement sustainability (Gupta & Sharma, 2023).

V. Proposed Multi-Level Governance (MLG) Framework

A. Framework Structure

To bridge the gap between decentralized decision-making and the need for accountability, we propose an MLG framework built on four pillars:

1. **Central Authority:**
   Develop and enforce uniform sustainability standards that apply nationwide—think of it as a certification system like EcoMark.
2. **State Administration:**
   Adapt these central standards to local contexts; for instance, Tamil Nadu's solar procurement initiatives show how regional adaptation can work.
3. **Local Bodies:**
   Engage communities directly through participatory budgeting, ensuring local needs are not sidelined.
4. **Digital Backbone:**
   Use blockchain and other digital tools to create an unchangeable record of procurement activities, reducing opportunities for corruption.

B. Role of Digital Platforms

Digital platforms, particularly those powered by blockchain, offer a promising solution for ensuring procurement integrity. Pilot projects in Kerala have already demonstrated audit efficiencies of up to 89%—far surpassing the national average of 52%. Moreover, incorporating AI-driven analytics could further refine real-time monitoring and decision-making. In our opinion, embracing these technologies is not just innovative; it is essential for modernizing India's procurement processes.

VI. **Empirical Case Studies**

A. **Kerala: A Model of Decentralized Innovation**

Kerala's "Green Local Governance" initiative is a shining example of how local innovation can lead to better sustainable practices. By mandating the use of eco-friendly materials in local projects, the state has achieved a 48% increase in SPP adoption from 2018 to 2023. This shows that when digital tools and local innovation come together, they can effectively support broader national goals.

B. **Uttar Pradesh: Structural and Operational Hurdles**

In contrast, Uttar Pradesh continues to face significant challenges. Issues like bureaucratic red tape and inadequate training for procurement staff contribute to a mere 12% compliance with CPWD guidelines. These challenges highlight an urgent need for comprehensive reforms—an issue that resonates with current industry concerns about inefficiency and resistance to change.

VII. **Discussion and Policy Implications**

A. **Policy Recommendations**

Based on our analysis, we propose the following recommendations:

1. Embed SPP Criteria in National Legislation:
   Amend key policies, such as the Fiscal Responsibility and Budget Management Act, to integrate sustainability directly into procurement decisions.
2. Create a Central-State Coordination Council:
   Establish a dedicated body to harmonize procurement policies and ensure consistency across all government levels.
3. Expand the Use of Digital Innovations:
   Increase the implementation of blockchain, AI, and related digital tools to boost transparency and efficiency.
4. Invest in Training Programs:
   Use resources such as CSR funds to build the capacity of procurement officials and vendors, ensuring that everyone is up to date with best practices.

B. **Limitations and Future Research**

While our study is comprehensive, some limitations remain. For instance, limited data from rural regions might affect the broader applicability of our findings. Additionally, entrenched bureaucratic resistance could slow down the adoption of digital innovations. Future research should consider longitudinal studies to track the long-term impact of these digital integrations and explore comparative models in other federal systems.

VIII. **Conclusion**

In summary, our research shows that integrating decentralized governance with digital innovations can fundamentally transform sustainable public procurement in India. The proposed MLG framework is designed to respect local autonomy while aligning with national sustainability objectives. In our view, by focusing on enhanced coordination, embracing digital transformation, and tailoring policy interventions, India—and indeed other emerging economies—can make significant strides toward meeting global sustainability standards.

References

1. Chakraborty, L. (2020). Federalism and Public Procurement in India. Oxford University Press.
2. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2021). Global Review of Sustainable Public Procurement.
3. World Bank. (2022). Procurement Governance Indicators.
4. OECD. (2023). Public Procurement and Federalism: Comparative Analysis. OECD Publishing.
5. NITI Aayog. (2022). Digital Transformation in Public Procurement. Government of India.
6. Gupta, R. & Sharma, P. (2023). Blockchain in Public Procurement: Pilot Initiatives in Kerala. Journal of Digital Governance.
7. Mukherjee, S. (2021). Sustainable Public Procurement in Emerging Economies. Economic and Political Weekly.

8. Kumar, A. & Rao, S. (2022). Digital Innovations in Public Procurement: Challenges and Opportunities in India. Journal of Public Policy and Administration.
9. Singh, R. & Mehta, V. (2023). Integrating Sustainability into Public Procurement: The Role of Digital Governance. International Journal of Sustainable Development.
10. Banerjee, R. & Kapoor, S. (2022). Challenges and Strategies in Implementing Sustainable Public Procurement in India. Journal of Policy Studies.
11. Ministry of Commerce & Industry. (2023). Guidelines on Green Public Procurement. Government of India.
12. Chatterjee, S. & Das, N. (2021). Digital Transformation in Public Procurement: An Indian Perspective. Journal of Digital Governance and Innovation.
13. Sinha, A. & Patel, M. (2020). Public Procurement and Sustainable Development: Trends and Issues in India. Indian Journal of Economics and Management.