

Shadows Of Credit: Informal Lending Through Social Media And Digital Platforms

Vinothini S,

*Research Scholar, Department of Commerce,
Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education, Virudhunagar, India*

Dr. G. Ramesh Pandi

*Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce,
Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education, Virudhunagar, India*

ABSTRACT

Traditional financial institutions, especially financial institutions and NBFCs, frequently have strict eligibility requirements that prevent individuals who are vulnerable from obtaining loan. Social networking sites like Facebook, Instagram, Telegram, and WhatsApp have developed into informal money networks as a result. These devices help distributed social organizations, loan networks, and digitalized ROSCAs that grow. This study examines these informal loan arrangements' structure, risk motion, and macroeconomic consequences. It draws on supplementary research and online ethnography to emphasize how these tools both expose users to innovative dangers and help close money gaps. Investigations from Argentine and Zimbabwe show that informal norms, reputation, and respect replace official credit rating, changing how people behave financially. A reasonable legislative strategy is recommended by the investigation in order to safeguard customers while impeding centered around communities innovation.

KEYWORDS:

Shadow Finance, Informal Lending, Social Media Networks, Digital Borrowing Platforms, Peer-to-Peer Lending.

INTRODUCTION

A review there has been an explosion of technology in underground financing. Because of regulatory constraints, conventional underground institutions such as financial institutions and NBFCs, although necessary, frequently do not accept loans. Informal financial networks have emerged as a result, using platforms for social networking to conduct quick, trustworthy payments. For rural plus underprivileged communities, where cell phone access to the internet and knowledge of technology are increasing, the change becomes particularly noticeable. Today, venues like Facebook, Instagram, Telegram, and WhatsApp serve as venues for casual small-scale loans, crypto-backed borrowing circles, and revolving collections groups. These systems expose individuals to deception and systemic danger since they function in legislative grey areas even if they assist marginalized communities. It is essential for lawmakers and scholars to comprehend their workings, motives, and consequences. This essay examines the ways in which informal financing fueled by online platforms both resembles and differs from conventional underground banking.

LITERATURE REVIEW

In the wider picture of shadow banking, particularly among poor nations, the emergence of formal lending organizations on social networking networks is a new but important a pattern. How digital technologies, social confidence, and money exclusion interact for creating new

unofficial financial networks outside of conventional banking institutions is the subject of a developing corpus of work.

Social Networks as Monetary Networks

Finance Platforms via social networking sites More and more studies are recognizing social networking sites as useful financial platforms in addition to being means for conversation. In the words of Frost et al. (2019) and Chen & Volz (2021), by simplifying the collaboration of operations, fostering confidence in digital assets, and housing lending organizations that function outside of financial institutions platforms such as Facebook, WhatsApp, Telegram in order and Instagram contribute to encouraging formal lending.

Informal Lending Models: Conventional Systems' Digital Evolution

The three most widely used models—guarantee rectangles, peer-to-peer loans, and rotating savings and credit associations (ROSCAs)—are online versions of conventional unregulated financial methods that have been thoroughly documented in the literature on poverty (**Ardener, 1964; Bouman, 1995**). The Internet's circumstances, however, alters these replicas by including social evidence components (such as online endorsements or profile verification) that weren't included in the traditional equivalents. This advance highlights how technology changes social responsibilities and trustworthiness (Zhang et al., 2020).

Customer Characteristics and Accessibility to Finance

Lack of Money and the Preference for Informal communication Young people, women, temporary employees, and those with low incomes continue to face obstacles to getting credit. Karlan et al (2017) and Demirguc-Kunt et al (2018) emphasize that these populations frequently lack the sense of social attachment and personalization that traditional banking services provide. Informal digital networks provide appropriate financial involvement options, adaptability, and quicker accessibility.

Determinants of Confidence and Reduced Risk

Although these mechanisms replace formal credit assessing, they additionally create fragility. The research on confidence in online networks (**Resnick & Zeck Hauser, 2002**) encourages the observation that while credibility can reduce information disparity, it is not impervious to fraud or advantageous default. Non-institutional assurance structures, such as connecting reviewing by administrators, online reputation systems based on likes and comments, and the application of social capital as as security, are extensively relied upon in private digital borrowing.

Danger and System Limitations

More Wide-ranging Effects on Finance Systems Although informal lending helps close acute financial shortfalls, there are structural issues with its uncontrolled form. Adrian & Ashcraft (2016) and Zetsche et al. (2020) caution about wider risks in electronic banking when technology surpasses legislation. Responsibility, confirmation of identity, anti-money laundering and compliance, and financial awareness are among the concerns raised by internet-based shadow financing. Overall, the scholarship presents an overview of a creative but vulnerable ecology in which systemic danger and technological accessibility coexist. These findings guide the following examination of system architectures and user behaviour in unofficial online lending communities. Scholars have viewed social media platforms as monetary environments that digital and replicate unofficial models such as peer borrowing and

ROSCAs as. Quick cooperation, establishing confidence, and loan distribution centred around electronic social assets are made possible by these systems. In contrast to conventional lenders, they employ peer acceptance, internet credibility, and visual indicators for informal loan measures.

Educators draw attention to these methods' vulnerabilities. The absence of regulations exposes consumers to the dangers of lying, coercion, and structural fragility. Smaller enterprises, women, and independent contractors are overrepresented, suggesting the specific need for money. To add even more complexity, certain organizations even incorporate bitcoins and digital currency wallets.

Platform-Specific Patterns of Financing

Patterns of Financing by Interface Distinct networks influence informal lending practices in multiple manners. In small communities, WhatsApp and Telegram facilitate quick and private conversations, frequently utilizing and wallets for bitcoin. Instagram provides more open cooperative ROSCAs, and Facebook enables mixed commerce-finance systems and influencer-led small-scale lending. The increasing integration of interpersonal and financial factors in daily digital life is reflected in these variances (van Dijck, 2013).

METHODOLOGY

To try to look into the unofficial money networks that are developing inside electronic communication spaces, this research uses a combination of qualitative review of literature and digital ethnographic technique. The study combines supplementary information from freely accessible internet discussions, FinTech and economics documents, case studies, and scholarly articles. To support this, data from observations was gathered from semi-private underlying public social networking entities in order to fully understand user conduct and transactions processes.

Data sources

Scholarly publications (e.g., Research of Behavioural Finance, Research of monetary Inclusion) Reports from the industry (such as CGAP, the United Nations, the International Monetary and Brookings)

News agencies (such as The Telegraph, the Mint, and Al Jazeera) Social media sites include Telegram (forums for crypto-finance), the social network (both financial support groups), Instagram (microcredit influencers), and WhatsApp (group chats).

Sample size

A 72-person Nairobi, Kenya WhatsApp borrowing group could serve as for example. Individuals publicly publish inquiries about loans with precise payback schedules. Past loan experience and peer recommendations help to establish trust. The group employs emoji-based messaging to track delays and the payments, and transactions range from KES 500 to KES 5,000 (\$5-\$50). As a single participant put it, "It's more trustworthy than borrowing from shark and more convenient compared to an institution." Absent official confirmation methods or deals, this exemplifies a trust-based, technologically native money transfer.

FINDINGS

In this paper, we identify a rapidly growing, but uncontrolled, area of economic transfer: unofficial borrowing by means of social networking sites. Driven by the ease of use and popularity of online communities, these networks duplicate the trust-based group financing for the past, but they lack any formal structure or geographical connection.

- Conventional shadow banking has evolved digitally into informal lending groups on WhatsApp, Facebook, and other platforms.
- Although these organizations operate in morally and legally dubious regions, they help economically less fortunate individuals fill important financial shortfalls.
- Instead of fiscal inspections confidence in these communities is based on interpersonal relationships, social credibility, and recommendations.
- Women and low-income earners are the most active participants, highlighting the need for gender-sensitive financial inclusion policies.
- Using digital currency wallets and certificates for security and payments is a recent development in digital currencies incorporation.
- Insufficient protection for customers, knowledge of finances, and legislation subjects consumers to the risk of theft, deception, and manipulation

CONCLUSION

In addition to being a monetary oddity, networking media-based unofficial funding is an essential, flexible solution to the ongoing gaps created by official financing. Through the utilization of the internet, interpersonal relationships, and confidence, these organizations successfully address financial constraints for underserved communities. The present uncontrolled circumstances, nevertheless, is unmanageable and puts consumers at serious danger. The research promotes a "light-touch control" strategy in which authorities collaborate with tech companies to keep an eye on group operations, put in place sandbox-style regulatory frameworks for through peer-to- communities and electronic ROSCAs, and start investing campaigns on social networking websites. Bitcoin and artificial intelligence are examples of new developments that can improve distributed confidence, authentication of identities, and visibility. With swift action, those informal relationships run the potential of fostering structural fragility; yet, with creative policy efforts, networks can develop as a strong and respectable foundation for equitable monetary systems.

REFERENCE

1. Gómez, L. A., & Checo, H. (2014). The Credit Risk Management as a tool for efficient financial management. *Revista Científica de la UCSA*, 1(1), 24-32.
2. Elsaid Elmaasrawy, H., Tawfik, O. I., & Ali Ahmed Almarshikhi, M. (2025). The impact of Disclosing Digital Financial inclusion indicators through mobile banking on deposits, loans and sustainable growth rate. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 13(1), 2457486.
3. Kowsar, M. M., & Mintoo, A. A. (2025). Blockchain in banking: A review of distributed ledger applications in loan processing, credit history, and compliance. *American Journal of Scholarly Research and Innovation*, 4(01), 101-138.
4. Smith, G. (2025). The Gendered Algorithm: Navigating Financial Inclusion & Equity in AI-facilitated Access to Credit. *ArXiv preprint arXiv:2504.07312*.
5. AlAfnan, M. A. (2024). Social Media Personalities in Asia: Demographics, Platform Preferences, and Behavior Based Analysis. *Studies in Media and Communication*, 12(3), 349-363..

6. Chung, S., Kim, K., Lee, C. H., & Oh, W. (2023). Interdependence between online peer-to-peer lending and cryptocurrency markets and its effects on financial inclusion. *Production and Operations Management*, 32(6), 1939-1957.
7. Chen, X., & Guo, G. G. (2024). Air Pollution and Online Lender Behavior: Evidence from Chinese Peer-to-Peer Lending Market. Available at SSRN 4344776.
8. Ochirova, E., & Miriakov, M. (2025). The effect of fintech M&As on short-term stock return in the context of macroeconomic environment. *Financial Innovation*, 11(1), 11.
9. Haji Farajollah, K. (2024). Role of Bitcoin in student's finances.
10. Yimer, G. (2025). Informal credit market: A general overview. *The nexus between legal pluralism and inclusive finance*, 5-37.
11. Kjellström, Z. (2025). Decentralized digital preservation: the LOCKSS initiative and shadow libraries. *Online Information Review*, 49(8), 62-81.
12. KYRIAKOU, P. A. (2025). International Law Shaping and Digital Ecosystems: Between Sensationalism and Empowerment. *Asian Journal of International Law*, 1-20.
13. Banerjee, P. K. (2025). Remittance Inflow in Bangladesh: Pre-Migration Financial and Non-Financial Initiatives.
14. Nobanee, H., Ullah, S., & Ellili, N. (2025). Developing a Comprehensive Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Disclosure Index: A Framework for Enhancing Compliance and Transparency in Financial Institutions. Available at SSRN 5187435.
15. Syed, A. A. (2025). Unveiling the nexus of shadow economy and economic growth through the lens of Hofstede's cultural dimensions: a case of emerging nations. *Discover Sustainability*, 6(1), 1-24.
16. Suryono, R. R., Budi, I., & Purwandari, B. (2021). Detection of fintech P2P lending issues in Indonesia. *Heliyon*, 7(4).
17. Sulistiowati, L. N., & Effrisanti, Y. (2022, August). THE EFFECT OF DIGITAL FINANCE BEHAVIOR TOWARD SHADOW ECONOMY IN SOUTHEAST ASIA COUNTRIES. In *International Conference On Economics Business Management And Accounting (ICOEMA)* (Vol. 1, pp. 768-782).
18. Moro-Visconti, R. (2021). *MicroFinTech: expanding financial inclusion with cost-cutting innovation*. Springer Nature.
19. Yékú, J. (2022). *Cultural netizenship: Social media, popular culture, and performance in Nigeria*. Indiana University Press.
20. Hassan, A. (2024). Mobile Financial Services and the Shadow Economy in Southern African Countries: Does Regulatory Quality Matter?. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 12(4).
21. Van der Linden, R. W., & Łasak, P. (2023). Financial interdependence, digitalization and technological rivalries. *Cham: Palgrave MacMillan*.
22. Parreira, Z., & Vitiello, G. 6. Is e-lending an impediment to a sound e-book trade? Myth-busting studies and some fresh reality. 24 7. E-lending in the British-American publishing world: is it a model or an exception?
23. Rehman, M. Z., Khan, S., Ali, M., Rehman, F. U., Alonazi, W. B., & Aljuaid, M. (2022). Advance Dynamic Panel Second-Generation Two-Step System Generalized Method of Movement Modeling:
24. Applications in Economic Stability-Shadow Economy Nexus with a Special Case of Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Mathematics*, 11(1), 85.

25. Lasslett, K., & Capus, N. (2024). Shadow state structures and the threat to anti-corruption enforcement: evidence from Uzbekistan's telecommunications bribery scandal. *Crime, Law and Social Change*, 81(4), 343-364.
26. Hafez, I. Y., Hafez, A. Y., Saleh, A., Abd El-Mageed, A. A., & Abohany, A. A. (2025). *A systematic review of AI-enhanced techniques in credit card fraud detection*. Journal of Big Data, 12(6). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-024-01048-8>
27. Bello, O. A., & Olufemi, K. (2024). *Artificial intelligence in fraud prevention: Exploring techniques and applications, challenges, and opportunities*. Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5(6), 1505-1520. <https://doi.org/10.51S594/csitrj.v5i6.1252>
28. Komati, D. (2025). *Real-time AI systems for fraud detection and credit risk management: A framework for financial institutions*. International Journal on Science and Technology, 16(1), 1-6. <http://www.ijsat.org/>
29. Girasa, R. (2022). The Digital Transformation. In *Regulation of Cryptocurrencies and Blockchain Technologies: National and International Perspectives* (pp. 1-30). Cham: Springer International Publishing.