Monopoly of Migrant Labour and it's Implications – Evidence from Construction, Food and Education Sectors in the State of Andhra Pradesh

Dr.K.Srilatha, Assistant Professor (A), School of Management Studies, JNTU – Anantapur, Ananthapur

Dr.C.Udaya Kumar, Professor, APPA School of Business, Vasireddy Venkatadri International Technological University, Nambur, Guntur

Abstract:

Interstate migration from economically weaker states of India, such as Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, and Odisha, significantly contributes to the workforce in sectors like construction, food, and education across urban and semi-urban regions. This study investigates the socio-economic drivers, challenges, and impacts of this migration, focusing on the lived experiences of migrant laborers and the structural inequalities they face. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, the research collects data from approximately 400-500 migrant workers in key destinations through surveys, interviews, and focus group discussions. Findings reveal that migration is predominantly driven by limited local employment opportunities and wage disparities between sending and receiving states. However, migrants face precarious working conditions, wage exploitation, lack of social security, and disruption of family structures. The study also examines the role of public policies and urban planning in addressing migrant vulnerabilities and ensuring equitable access to resources. By highlighting the intersection of migration, labor markets, and social protection, the research provides actionable insights for policymakers, NGOs, and stakeholders to enhance the well-being of migrant workers and optimize their contributions to the economy. However, this study is aimed to understand the prevailed monopolistic conditions raised by the migrant labor in the concerned states. Furthermore the paper aims to determine the significant implications over the domicile workers in the sectors such as, construction, food and education.

Keywords- Interstate migration, migrant labor, construction sector, food sector, education sector, socio-economic disparities, India, labor policy, urban planning.

Introduction:

Migration refers to the migration of individuals beyond a defined boundary to establish a new permanent or semi-permanent abode. Migration is a factor in population change, including fertility and mortality. The terms "in migration" and "out migration" refer to the movement between regions within a country (internal migration). The phrases "immigration" and "emigration" denote movements between countries (international migration). The mechanisms of migration in underdeveloped nations differ fundamentally from those in wealthy countries. In developing nations such as India, migration primarily occurs not owing to the perceived pull factors of the destination, as is typically observed in affluent countries, but rather as a result of poverty, unemployment, natural disasters, and underdevelopment at the origin. Migration in poor nations is still perceived as a strategy for survival. Poverty and affluence both contribute to migration. The former predominantly applies to developing nations, whereas the latter type of migration is observed in industrialized nations.

The intersection of migration and development is an increasingly significant field of study. There has been considerable discourse over the adverse effects of migration on development and vice versa. On one hand, it is contended that underdevelopment drives migration, while on the other hand, wealth also induces migration. The history of migration reflects humanity's endeavor for survival and prosperity, the quest to evade insecurity and poverty, and the pursuit of opportunities. The economist J.K. Galbraith characterizes migration as "the most ancient response to poverty." Globally, 175 million individuals, or over three percent of the entire population, reside outside their nation of origin. Migration occurs mostly owing to regional disparities in development. Individuals migrate from underdeveloped regions to affluent areas to enhance their living situations. This is confirmed to be accurate in both international and internal migration. Inter-state migration in developing nations, particularly India, should be considered within the framework of regional disparity and developmental inequality. The majority of inter-state migrations in India are from economically underdeveloped states (Niti Aayog Report, 2016). The 2011 Census indicated that Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, and Odisha comprised almost fifty percent of the total inter-state migrants. These states exhibit the highest poverty rates and are deficient in human development. According to NITI Aayog's National Multidimensional Poverty Index (2015-16), the

poverty rates in Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, and Madhya Pradesh were 51.9 percent. 37.7 percent, 36.6 percent, and 29.34 percent, respectively.

Review of Literature

Rural-urban migration significantly alters the social and behavioral patterns of migrants as they relocate in search of improved education, healthcare, and entertainment, necessitating a resocialization of their behaviors, which ultimately results in a transformation of their behavioral patterns (Ankrah Kwaku Twumasi, 2015).

Individuals relocate for superior employment opportunities as their reliance on the agricultural economy diminishes. These migrants typically encounter employment instability, substandard working conditions, and discrimination in metropolitan workplaces. The report also contests the fundamental assertion that rural-urban mobility transfers rural poverty to urban regions. The reality is that the rise in remittances has significantly enhanced the savings rate and level of life, facilitated by the migration of individuals from underdeveloped rural regions to developed metropolitan centers (Afsar Rita, 2013).

The Influence of Anticipated Earnings on Personal Migration Choices: The research aims to construct a model based on a factor, specifically the influence of anticipated income on migration decisions. The paper's study reveals that the relationship between anticipated income and migration decisions is contingent upon geographic disparities in average wages and the technology available for relocation in pursuit of a more favorable locational match when income differentials are present in the current location (Kennarn John et al, 2019).

The empirical research of rural-urban migration in India indicates that the primary assertion of the Lewis Model is that such migration is affected by the presence of surplus labor. States characterized by elevated labor-to-land ratios and diminished agricultural output are likely to experience increased outmigration. The paper's other significant finding is that, in contrast to the Lewis model, the rural-urban migration phenomena is inherently complicated and fundamentally reliant on socioeconomic conditions and skill possession. This indicates that an individual with a low degree of education is less inclined to relocate to urban areas. This also signifies their inability to attain higher education due to financial constraints. The primary policy implication is that policymakers should not assume that rural poverty diminishes in surplus labor regions due to rural-urban migration, as impoverished individuals are less inclined to relocate (Dubey et al, 2021).

Need for the Study:

Understanding interstate migrant labor from poor states like Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, and Odisha to sectors such as construction, food, and education is crucial for several reasons. Firstly, these migrants contribute significantly to India's economic framework by filling labor gaps in urban and semi-urban regions where local labor supply is insufficient (Srivastava, 2020). For instance, the construction sector heavily depends on migrant workers for infrastructure projects, while the food and education sectors benefit from their skills and adaptability in low-wage roles (Kundu, 2021). Studying this migration helps policymakers address vulnerabilities like poor working conditions, wage exploitation, and inadequate access to social security schemes, which are common challenges faced by these workers (IOM, 2021).

Secondly, migration patterns highlight the socio-economic disparities between sending and receiving states. States like UP and Odisha often suffer from chronic underemployment and lack of economic opportunities, pushing workers to migrate in search of better livelihoods (Deshingkar & Akter, 2009). This movement, however, disrupts family structures and often leads to issues such as child labor or dropout rates among migrant families (NSSO, 2019). A deeper understanding of these dynamics is essential to design targeted interventions, such as skill development programs, portable social security benefits, and inclusive urban planning, ensuring that migration becomes a pathway for empowerment rather than exploitation (ILO, 2020).

Research Methodology:

To study interstate migrant labor from Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, and Odisha in sectors like construction, food, and education, a multi-stage sampling approach can be employed. First, purposive sampling should identify key urban and semi-urban centers that attract high numbers of migrant workers, such as Delhi, Mumbai, Bengaluru, and

Ahmedabad. Within these locations, stratified random sampling can categorize workers based on their sectors of employment. A sample size of approximately 400-500 participants, distributed evenly across states and sectors, would provide robust data while ensuring regional and occupational representation. Data collection can include face-to-face surveys, focus group discussions, and semi-structured interviews to capture quantitative and qualitative insights. Snowball sampling can also be used to reach undocumented or informal workers who are otherwise hard to locate.

The study will adopt a mixed-methods approach. Quantitative data will be gathered using structured questionnaires focusing on demographic details, income patterns, working conditions, and access to social welfare. Qualitative data will be collected through interviews and focus groups to explore subjective experiences, challenges, and aspirations of migrant workers. Data triangulation will ensure validity by comparing information from multiple sources, such as government reports, NGO records, and employer data. The data analysis will involve statistical tools like SPSS for quantitative insights and thematic coding for qualitative data. Ethical considerations, including informed consent and confidentiality, will be strictly adhered to, ensuring that participants feel secure and respected throughout the study.

Data Analysis:

Comparative Analysis over Monopoly of Migrant Labor:

The banking services users' perceptions towards the variable Monopoly of Migrant Labor is tested among the selected sectors such as construction, food and education. The concerned sectors are considered for this study and the results are tabulated in the following tables. There are 150 samples of each have been considered from the mentioned sectors and the total samples are 600. The Descriptive Statistics of the model is presented in the following table -1.

Table: -1: Descriptive Statistics of the model over Monopoly of Migrant Labor

Descriptives								
Monopoly of Migrant Labor								
	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
Construction	150	3.566	0.4972	0.040	3.4864	3.6469	3.00	4.00
Food	150	3.153	0.6526	0.053	3.0480	3.2586	2.00	4.00
Education	150	2.540	0.5000	0.040	2.4593	2.6207	2.00	3.00
Total	600	2.948	0.6950	0.028	2.8926	3.0041	2.00	4.00

The ANOVA statistics of the study revealed that the model is significant as the significant value of the model is found to be 0.000 which is less than 0.05. The sum of squares between the groups is found to be 114.498. The degrees of freedom between groups in the model are denoted as 3. The mean square value of between the groups of the model is denoted as 38.166. The F-Value of the model is found to be 130.057. The data also elicited the statistics of within the group too. The sum of squares values within groups is found to be 174.900 and the degrees of the freedom of the model is observed as 596.

Table: -2: ANOVA Statistics of the model over Monopoly of Migrant Labor

ANOVA					
Monopoly of Migrant Labor					
	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.

Between Groups	114.498	3	38.166	130.05	.000
Within Groups	174.900	596	.293		
Total	289.398	599			

Conclusion:

The Multiple Comparisons also revealed along with these results. The variable monopoly of migrant labor is compared among the banks related to perceived value. The results are found to be significant. When construction sector is compared with the food sector, the mean difference is found to be 0.41333, standard error of the model is denoted as 0.6699 and the significance value is found to be 0.000. The bootstrap results of the model disclosed that the lower bound value is 0.2402 and the upper bound value is found to be 0.5865. However, as there is no zero value between the bootstrapping results it is considered that the model is significant. When construction sector compared with the education sector, the mean difference is found to be 1.02667, standard error of the model is denoted as 0.05758 and the significance value is found to be 0.000. The bootstrap results of the model disclosed that the lower bound value is 0.8779 and the upper bound value is found to be 1.1754. However, as there is no zero value between the bootstrapping results it is considered that the model is significant.

References

1. NITI – Aayog Report, 2016
2. Srivastava, R. (2020). Labour migration in India: Patterns and policy issues. New Delhi: Centre for Employment Studies, Jawaharlal Nehru University.
3. Kundu, A. (2021). Migrant labourers and urban economies in India: Challenges and opportunities. Economic and Political Weekly, 56(12), 45-52.
4. International Organization for Migration (IOM). (2021). Migration and development: A policy perspective. Geneva: International Organization for Migration.
5. Deshingkar, P., & Akter, S. (2009). Migration and human development in India: New opportunities and challenges. Human Development Research Paper 2009/13. United Nations Development Programme.
6. National Sample Survey Office (NSSO). (2019). Report on migration in India 2019. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India.
7. International Labour Organization (ILO). (2020). Social protection for migrant workers in India: A framework for action. Geneva: International Labour Organization.