European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 16, Issue 2 (2026)
http://eelet.org.uk

Understanding the Consequences of Job Insecurity: Evidence from Indian Software Engineers

Kumkum Singh
Assistant Professor & Head, Dept. of Psychology, Kuba P.G. College, Azamgarh

Raghavendra Pratap Singh
Associate Professor, Dept. of Business Admin., PSIT College of Higher Education, Kanpur

Yogershi Rajpoot
Assistant Professor, Dept. of Psychology, M.V. College, Buxar

ABSTRACT
Era marked by rapid technological disruption and heightened workforce volatility, job insecurity has emerged as a salient psychological stressor, particularly in knowledge-intensive sectors. This study investigates the correlational relationships between job insecurity and key employee outcomes job satisfaction, turnover intention, organizational citizenship behavior (OCB), and positive affectivity among 287 software engineers employed across five major IT hubs in India. Adopting a cross-sectional survey design and using validated psychometric instruments, Pearson correlation analysis was applied to assess associations. Results reveal a significant negative correlation between job insecurity and job satisfaction (r = - 0.18, $p < .01$), and significant positive correlations with turnover intention (r = 0.21, $p < .01$), OCB (r = 0.32, $p < .01$), and positive affectivity (r = 0.19, $p < .01$). Notably, the positive relationship between job insecurity and OCB indicates potential compensatory or impression management behaviors under perceived threat. The results provide a subtle and balanced understanding of job insecurity, indicating that it may generate both negative and adaptive reactions, depending on individual characteristics and contextual conditions. This study contributes to the literature by contextualizing the dual-path effects of job insecurity within the Indian IT sector, offering insights for human resource policy and managerial practices in high-uncertainty environments.

Keywords: Job Insecurity, Software Engineers, Organizational Citizenship Behavior, Turnover Intention, Job Satisfaction.

Introduction
With ongoing global tech firings, AI-based employee reorganization, and increasing post-pandemic employment uncertainty, India's software sector is faced severe challenges. With its vast size and strategic significance, empirical studies on psychological stressors, especially job insecurity, are sparse in this situation. In today's changing world of global employment, job insecurity has become a common phenomenon, affecting both employees' well-being and organizational performance. As economies become more integrated and digitalization

quickens its pace, labor markets, particularly in fields such as information technology (IT), are witnessing notable changes in patterns of employment, contract duration, and career paths. Employment insecurity, characterized as "the perceived threat of job loss and the concern associated with that threat" (De Witte, 2005), is no longer limited to blue-collar and temporary employees. It is increasingly prevalent among knowledge workers, including software developers (Greenhalgh & Rosenblatt, 1984; Cheng & Chan, 2008).

The Indian IT industry, while a cornerstone of the country's economic engine, is particularly vulnerable to fluctuations in global demand, automation, and outsourcing transitions (NASSCOM, 2023). In this context, job insecurity has become a psychological stressor that adversely influences employees' motivation, attitudes, and behavior (Sverke, Hellgren, & Näswall, 2002). Prolonged exposure to such insecurity has been linked to detrimental outcomes such as reduced job satisfaction (Burchell, 2011), lower organizational commitment (Meyer & Allen, 1991), deteriorated mental health (De Cuyper & De Witte, 2006), and increased turnover intentions (Tett & Meyer, 1993). These consequences are not only individual-level effects but can cumulatively destroy organizational culture and long-term competitiveness (Silla, De Cuyper, Gracia, Peiró, & De Witte, 2009). Concurrently, a counterintuitive line of research points out that under some circumstances, job insecurity could encourage organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) and task-oriented performance as employees try to prove value and improve employability (Reisel et al., 2010; Gilboa et al., 2008). Specifically within high-skill, project-oriented sectors, specialists may display resilience and forward-looking adaptation through additional-role behavior to stablize their career prospects (Jiang & Lavaysse, 2018). Conventional wisdom might challenge even voluminous international literature with too little empirical work concentrated on the Indian IT industry, where career uncertainty is typically concealed by fast cycles of recruitment and temporal employment contracts. Additionally, previous research has largely focused on Western settings, thereby missing the cultural and contextual factors like collectivism, employability beliefs, as well as the employer-employee social contract in India (Budhwar & Varma, 2011).

This research fulfills these shortcomings by empirically examining the outcomes of job insecurity in Indian software professionals, namely, its effect on job satisfaction, organizational commitment, intention to leave, organizational citizenship behavior, and subjective well-being. By concentrating on a sample drawn from key IT centers such as Bangalore, Pune, and Hyderabad, the research not only contributes to theoretical knowledge on job insecurity's multi-faceted consequences but also provides actionable insights for human resource managers and policymakers seeking to promote workforce stability and commitment in uncertain settings.

Review of Literature

Job insecurity has emerged as a central concern in organizational research, drawing interdisciplinary attention from psychology, sociology, economics, and human resource management. At its core, job insecurity encompasses the perceived threat of job loss and the attendant stress that may arise from that perception (Greenhalgh & Rosenblatt, 1984; De Witte, 2005). Studies across domains have established that job insecurity can affect both individual and organizational outcomes as some are discussed below:

Global Insights and Cross-Industry Evidence

Extensive meta-analytic research has documented job insecurity's adverse impact on employee well-being and performance. Sverke, Hellgren, and Näswall (2002), through a comprehensive meta-analysis, concluded that job insecurity consistently predicts reduced job satisfaction, heightened stress, and increased turnover intentions. Similarly, Cheng and Chan (2008) identified that job insecurity has a stronger psychological toll on older employees and those in temporary contracts. Studies from developed nations reflect contextual distinctions. In China**,** Xu et al. (2021) found job insecurity to be a predictor of work disengagement among knowledge workers in the fintech sector. In the United Kingdom, Gallie et al. (2017) linked long-term job insecurity to psychological distress and reduced life satisfaction among professionals and service workers. Mauno et al. (2019) reported that, in the US context, earnings instability and employment insecurity intensify the vulnerabilities experienced by gig workers. In Japan, Shima and Homma (2020) noted how seniority-based approaches have diverging impacts of job insecurity by age groups and job hierarchies. In New Zealand, Bentley et al. (2020) noted that insecurity multiplied work-family conflict and was harmful to employee voice mechanisms in digital start-ups. Likewise, in Australia, Brough et al. (2013) found that job insecurity among public sector workers was linked to work overload and psychological strain. Aside from emotional strain, job insecurity is also linked with physical health issues such as insomnia, fatigue, and cardiovascular hazards (Ferrie et al., 2002). In the healthcare sector, Koutsimani et al. (2019) associated job insecurity with burnout in nurses, whereas in manufacturing, Probst (2005) observed the deterioration of safety compliance in conditions of job insecurity.

Technology and Service Sector Contexts

In rapidly evolving IT and knowledge industries, the effects of job insecurity are complex and intensified. Jiang and Lavaysse (2018), for example, contended that high-performers might show first positive responses to job insecurity in the form of higher engagement, but long-term insecurity tends to impair affective commitment and team performance. In remote and flexible employment contexts, current evidence from Stollberger et al. (2022) indicates that job-insecure employees have lower psychological safety and collaboration. In Indian environments, Budhwar and Varma (2011) found that collectivist orientations would mediate employee attitudes towards job insecurity, with greater effects evident in urban knowledge workers since they are subject to high aspirational stress. The National Association of Software and Service Companies (NASSCOM) also indicated growing anxieties in India's IT workforce due to AI-led automation and global contract volatility in 2023.

Behavioral and Organizational Consequences

From a behavioral standpoint, job insecurity negatively correlates with organizational commitment (Meyer & Allen, 1997), while paradoxically encouraging short-term performance improvements or "impression management" behaviors (Reisel et al., 2010). Gilboa et al. (2008) noted that job insecurity can serve as a challenge stressor, prompting employees to invest more in their roles temporarily especially in project-based industries. The literature also highlights consequences for organizational citizenship behavior (OCB). While many studies (Abramis, 1994; Feather & Rauter, 2004) report a decline in OCB during periods of insecurity, others, like (Wong et al. 2005), suggest that cultural and occupational context mediates this relationship. In the Indian software sector, anecdotal evidence suggests that job-threat-induced "proactive engagement" is not uncommon.

Subjective Well-being and Psychological Capital

Finally, job insecurity significantly influences subjective well-being (SWB), Diener et al. (2002) emphasized that economic instability undermines life satisfaction and emotional equilibrium. However, studies like De Cuyper and De Witte (2006) and Schaufeli and Taris (2014) indicate that individual differences, particularly in psychological capital (hope, resilience, self-efficacy), can buffer these effects. In sum, the literature paints a complex picture of job insecurity: while it remains a critical stressor with predominantly negative consequences, certain behavioral responses may be context-dependent. This study seeks to contribute to this discourse by empirically analyzing how job insecurity affects psychological and behavioral outcomes among Indian software engineers a context underrepresented in global scholarship.

Objectives of the Study

Grounded in psychological contract theory and emerging work stress frameworks, this study sets out to empirically examine the multifaceted consequences of job insecurity among software engineers in India. The specific research objectives are:

- To examine the relationship between job insecurity and job satisfaction.
- To examine the relationship between job insecurity and turnover intention.
- To examine the relationship between job insecurity and organizational citizenship behavior (OCB).
- To examine the relationship between job insecurity and positive affect (SWB).

Hypotheses of the Study

The following directional hypotheses were developed based on prior empirical findings and theoretical frameworks:

H1: Job insecurity is negatively associated with job satisfaction.
H2: Job insecurity is positively associated with turnover intention.
H3: Job insecurity is negatively associated with organizational citizenship behavior (OCB).
H4: Job insecurity is negatively associated with positive affectivity.

Methodology

This research employed a quantitative, cross-sectional, and correlational research design, consistent with conventional practices in organizational behavior and psychological studies (Hair et al., 2020). The design allowed for methodological clarity and analytical purity, enabling valid inferences of the study variables' relationships.

Participants and Sampling

The sample (N = 287) is gender-diverse sample of IT professionals, with a higher proportion being male, and has an average age of 31.62 years. Participants were randomly drawn from five of the top tech hubs, considering geographical distribution and representativeness. The participants had a minimum of one year of work experience, which enhances the quality of responses on organizational behavior and work-related impressions. The demographic composition is shown in Table 1.

European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 16, Issue 2 (2026)
http://eelet.org.uk

Table 1: Participant Demographic Characteristics

Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	233	81.2
	Female	54	18.8
Age	Mean (SD)	31.62 (±9.8)	-
Work Location	Bangalore	86	29.9
	Pune	59	20.6
	Noida	58	20.2
	Ghaziabad	42	14.6
	Hyderabad	42	14.6
Job Tenure	≥ 1 year	287	100

Research Design and Ethical Considerations: The design was correlational and non-experimental, aiming to measure relationships rather than establish causality. Participants provided informed consent and were assured of privacy and confidentiality, aligning with ethical standards outlined by the American Psychological Association (APA, 2017). No monetary incentives were provided.

Measures: All constructs were measured using standardized and psychometrically validated instruments, each selected based on prior reliability and relevance to the Indian corporate context as given below in table:.

Table 2: Constructs (Sources and Reliability)

Construct	Scale & Source	Items	(Cronbach's α)
Job Insecurity	Ashford et al. (1989)	18	0.82
Organizational Commitment	Mowday, Steers & Porter (1979)	15	0.90
Organizational Citizenship Behavior	Bakshi & Kumar (2009)	30	0.82(Subscales 0.71–0.91)
Job Satisfaction	Dubey (2009)	25	0.72
Subjective Well-being	PANAS–Watson et al. (1988); SWLS – Diener et al. (1985)	25	PA: 0.84, NA: 0.80
Turnover Intention	Roodt (2004)	6	0.80

Procedure: Data collection was administered using structured questionnaires distributed both digitally and in printed form. Prior to administration, pilot testing was conducted with a small subset (n = 40) to validate clarity and language suitability. Participants were requested to complete the forms in one sitting, with average completion time being 40–45 minutes. All responses were checked for completeness before being entered into the SPSS software for statistical analysis.

Data Analysis: Descriptive statistics were computed to understand demographic profiles. Pearson's product-moment correlation was employed to assess relationships among variables. The statistical threshold for significance was set at $p < .05$ and $p < .01$. All computations were performed using SPSS version 26.0.

Results

The Pearson correlation results revealed statistically significant relationships between job insecurity and several employee outcomes. The analysis focuses exclusively on variables with significant associations.

Table 3: Correlation of Job Insecurity with Significant Outcome Variables

Variable	Correlation coefficient (r)	Significance level
Job Satisfaction	-0.18	$p < .01$ **
Turnover Intention	0.21	$p < .01$ **
Positive Affectivity (SWB* Sub dimension)	0.19	$p < .01$ **
Courtesy (OCB Sub dimension)	0.15	$p < .05$ *
Helping Co-worker (OCB Sub dimension)	0.23	$p < .01$ **
OCB** (Overall)	0.32	$p < .01$ **

SWB*= Subjective Well-being, OCB** = Organizational Citizenship Behavior

Interpretation of Results

The findings illuminate a dynamic psychological and behavioral response system activated by job insecurity. A significant negative correlation with job satisfaction ($r = -0.18$, $p < .01$) suggests that insecurity directly undermines employees' intrinsic sense of value and control at work key drivers of motivational sustainability (Hackman & Oldham, 1976). The erosion of satisfaction can result in long-term disengagement, cynicism, and even emotional withdrawal, especially in cognitively demanding roles such as software development. The positive correlation with turnover intention ($r = 0.21$, $p < .01$) reinforces the theoretical linkage between perceived breach in psychological contracts and employee exit strategies (Robinson & Rousseau, 1994). In the competitive tech industry, where employability perceptions are high, even subtle cues of instability may catalyze the intention to leave not as a reactionary move but as a proactive career management strategy. Counter intuitively, the positive relationship with OCB both overall ($r = 0.32$, $p < .01$) and within dimensions like courtesy ($r = 0.15$, $p < .05$) and helping co-workers ($r = 0.23$, $p < .01$) suggests that under conditions of insecurity, some employees intensify prosocial behavior. This could be interpreted through the lens of social exchange theory (Blau, 1964), where individuals seek to reinforce their social utility in the face of structural uncertainty. Such behaviors may function as "retention signaling mechanisms," where employees implicitly signal value and align with unspoken performance norms. Interestingly, job insecurity was also associated with positive affectivity ($r = 0.19$, $p < .01$), which may seem contradictory. However, this finding may reflect a subpopulation of emotionally agile professionals who leverage uncertainty as a challenge rather than a threat aligning with the challenge hindrance stressor framework (Cavanaugh et al., 2000). For such individuals, insecurity may serve as a motivator to demonstrate excellence, adapt, and grow.

These findings indicate that job insecurity is more than a deleterious affective state, but rather an intricate situation signal that triggers a spectrum of employee reactions from withdrawal to strategic activism. Organizational managers need to be aware of this continuum and avoid binaristic treatments of insecurity as either pernicious or benign. The complexity of behavior demonstrated in this research necessitates tailoring managerial approaches to fit different coping styles, positions, and perceived employability. These findings highlight the dual-path model of job insecurity in which threat can induce disengagement or prosocial overcompensation. Such subtle explanations facilitate bridging psychological theory and practical workforce intelligence.

Discussion

The current research offers detailed empirical understanding of psychological and behavioral outcomes of job insecurity among Indian software engineers. Through the identification of both negative and compensatory effects relating to perceived job insecurity, the results are consistent with, and an extension of, the emerging global literature investigating the dual-path impacts of this psychosocial stressor (Cheng & Chan, 2008; Sverke et al., 2002). The negative correlation between job insecurity and job satisfaction in the present study is in line with seminal Western research (Silla et al., 2009; De Witte, 2005), confirming that insecurity destroys intrinsic motivation and organizational engagement. Similar patterns have emerged among Chinese (Xu et al., 2021) and Australian (Brough et al., 2013) IT employees, where perceived instability substantially decreased job-based affective satisfaction. Turnover intention, positively correlated with insecurity in our findings, is also extensively documented in the literature as a behavioral response to psychological contract breach (Robinson & Rousseau, 1994; Tett & Meyer, 1993). In more dynamic industries like IT, where there are usually plenty of alternative job possibilities, job insecurity can lead to voluntary exit strategies because employees demand psychological security and professional continuity (Mauno et al., 2019; Shima & Homma, 2020). Yet, the positive tie between job insecurity and organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) constitutes a more subtle interpretive level. Whereas industrial workplace studies record a decrease in organizational citizenship behavior OCB in conditions of threat (Feather & Rauter, 2004), flexible service economy studies, for example, in New Zealand and the U.S., find that job-insecure workers are likely to augment prosocial behaviors in order to save their jobs (Bentley et al., 2020; Gilboa et al., 2008). Under the conditions of Indian software professionals with project-based job and competitive peer context being usual, this result could be strategic impression management or intrinsic motivation to perform under duress (Reisel et al., 2010).

Significantly, the positive association with positive affectivity finds support in an emerging view that all employees do not internalize job insecurity as negative. People with high psychological capital including optimism, hope, resilience, and efficacy might view insecurity as a challenge and not as a barrier (Luthans et al., 2007; Cavanaugh et al., 2000). This is particularly true in the IT sector where adaptive capacity and solution-readiness are preferred characteristics (Stollberger et al., 2022). These conclusions collectively indicate that job insecurity is not perceived to function as a one-dimensional stressor but as a situationally interpreted construct, whose effects differ depending on individual differences, occupational context, and cultural framing. Western economies, for example, report higher withdrawal-oriented responses (e.g., lowered engagement or commitment), whereas collectivist societies such as India may display more behavioral adaptation and resilience towards insecurity (Budhwar & Varma, 2011).

In day-to-day terms, the duality of responses means that HR managers in the software industry need to adopt strategy differentiation. Not only should efforts be directed toward minimizing job insecurity through open communication and clear roles, but also discretion-triggered effort like organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) should be recognized and enhanced. Strengthening psychological capital through guided interventions may further insulate against negative effects and direct employee energy into productive organizational outcomes.

Theoretical Contributions

This study advances the job insecurity literature by demonstrating that its consequences are not uniformly negative but can produce both withdrawal-oriented and adaptive behavioral responses. By integrating job satisfaction, organizational citizenship behavior, and positive affectivity within a single framework, the research highlights the dual-path dynamics of job insecurity and contributes empirical evidence from the underexplored context of the Indian software industry.

Limitations and Future Directions

While the present study offers meaningful contributions to the literature on job insecurity, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the use of a cross-sectional design restricts the ability to make causal inferences. Longitudinal studies would offer deeper insights into the temporal dynamics and causality of job insecurity outcomes (Mauno et al., 2019). Second, the study relied exclusively on self-reported measures, which may introduce common method variance (CMV) and social desirability bias. Although standard psychometric scales were used, future research could benefit from multi-source data, such as supervisor ratings or behavioral analytics, to enhance objectivity (Podsakoff et al., 2003). Third, the sample was drawn from urban IT hubs in India, which may limit the generalizability of findings to other geographic regions or industrial sectors. Comparative studies across industries (manufacturing, healthcare) or urban/rural environments would enrich our understanding of contextual moderators. Fourth, although the study had a variety of outcomes, it did not examine mediating or moderating variables such as employability, leadership support, psychological contract breach, or organizational justice. Such variables would clarify the mechanisms by which job insecurity influences attitudes and behavior (Sverke et al., 2002; De Cuyper & De Witte, 2006).

Follow-up research can examine how technologically mediated workspaces such as telework, blended arrangements, and AI-driven automation affect or reinforce perceptions of job insecurity and response. As digitalization continues to rise, psychological boundaries of job security can get redefined. Finally, incorporation of qualitative methods such as interviews or narrative analysis can provide richer, place-conditional insight into how professionals conceptualize and cope with job insecurity in high-skill professions such as IT.

Conclusion

This study offers a critical and contemporary insight into the two faces of job insecurity and their impact on knowledge workers in India's information technology sector. By unveiling both detrimental impacts like decreased job satisfaction and increased turnover intentions and resilient responses like increased organizational citizenship behavior and positive affectivity, findings negate the traditional conceptualization of job insecurity as solely negative. Instead, the results validate a more complex and contextualized view of insecurity as an incentive that elicits context-dependent and generally paradoxical reactions among workers. Significantly, the study emphasizes that job insecurity is less an organizational by-product of financial instability or technological change than a psychological entity screened by individual experience, cultural ideology, and occupational values. The Indian IT sector, with its work process based on projects, competitive career paths, and international interdependencies, provides a unique context within which tensions between productivity and security are intensified and deliberately contested by employees. For policy makers and practitioners, the findings call for proactive measures extending beyond job security guarantees. Interventions to increase psychological capital, inclusive communication, and acknowledgement of informal work (such as OCB) can shift insecurity into a positive force that drives resilience and adaptive performance.

Overall, this study adds to the general literature by unmasking the "two faces" of job insecurity one of fear and disengagement, and the other of engagement and strategic fit. How such a duality is acknowledged and managed might be the critical factor for the development of sustainable talent ecosystems in high-skill, high-uncertainty fields such as software engineering.

References

1. Abramis, D. J. (1994). Work role ambiguity, job satisfaction, and job performance: Meta-analyses and review. *Psychological Reports, 75*(3), 1411–1433.
2. Ashford, S. J., Lee, C., & Bobko, P. (1989). Content, causes, and consequences of job insecurity: A theory-based measure and substantive test. *Academy of Management Journal, 32*(4), 803–829.
3. Bakshi, A. J., & Kumar, K. (2009). Organizational citizenship behavior in public and private sector and its impact on job satisfaction: A comparative study in Indian perspective. *International Journal of Business and Management, 4*(1), 67–75.
4. Bentley, T. A., Teo, S. T., McLeod, L., Tan, F., Bosua, R., & Gloet, M. (2020). The role of organisational support in teleworker wellbeing: A socio-technical systems approach. *Applied Ergonomics,92*,103334.
5. Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. Wiley.
6. Brough, P., Drummond, S., & Biggs, A. (2013). Job support, coping, and control: Assessment of cumulative and interactive effects. *Work & Stress, 27*(3), 272–287.
7. Budhwar, P., & Varma, A. (Eds.). (2011). *Doing business in India: Building research-based practice*. Routledge.
8. Burchell, B. (2011). A temporal comparison of the effects of unemployment and job insecurity on wellbeing. *Sociological Research Online, 16*(1), 1–11.

9. Cavanaugh, M. A., Boswell, W. R., Roehling, M. V., & Boudreau, J. W. (2000). An empirical examination of self-reported work stress among U.S. managers. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 85*(1), 65–74.
10. Cheng, G. H. L., & Chan, D. K. S. (2008). Who suffers more from job insecurity? A meta-analytic review. *Applied Psychology, 57*(2), 272–303.
11. De Cuyper, N., & De Witte, H. (2006). The impact of job insecurity and contract type on attitudes, well-being and behavioural reports: A psychological contract perspective. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 79*(3), 395–409.
12. De Witte, H. (2005). Job insecurity: Review of the international literature on definitions, prevalence, antecedents and consequences. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology, 31*(4), 1–6.
13. Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The Satisfaction With Life Scale. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 49*(1), 71–75.
14. Diener, E., Oishi, S., & Lucas, R. E. (2002). Subjective well-being: The science of happiness and life satisfaction. In C. R. Snyder & S. J. Lopez (Eds.), *Handbook of positive psychology* (pp. 63–73). Oxford University Press.
15. Dubey, M. (2009). *Manual for job satisfaction scale*. National Psychological Corporation.
16. Feather, N. T., & Rauter, K. A. (2004). Organizational citizenship behaviours in relation to job status, job insecurity, organizational commitment and identification, job satisfaction and work values. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 77*(1), 81–94.
17. Ferrie, J. E., Shipley, M. J., Stansfeld, S. A., & Marmot, M. G. (2002). Effects of chronic job insecurity and change in job security on self-reported health, minor psychiatric morbidity, physiological measures, and health-related behaviors in British civil servants: The Whitehall II study. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health, 56*(6), 450–454.
18. Gallie, D., Felstead, A., & Green, F. (2017). The hidden face of job insecurity. *Work, Employment and Society, 31*(1), 36–53. https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017015624399
19. Gilboa, S., Shirom, A., Fried, Y., & Cooper, C. L. (2008). A meta-analysis of work demand stressors and job performance: Examining main and moderating effects. *Personnel Psychology, 61*(2), 227–271.
20. Greenhalgh, L., & Rosenblatt, Z. (1984). Job insecurity: Toward conceptual clarity. *Academy of Management Review, 9*(3), 438–448.
21. Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2020). *Multivariate data analysis* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
22. Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1976). Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 16*(2), 250–279.
23. Jiang, L., & Lavaysse, L. M. (2018). Cognitive and affective job insecurity: A meta-analysis and a primary study. *Journal of Management, 44*(6), 2307–2342.
24. Koutsimani, P., Montgomery, A., & Georganta, K. (2019). The relationship between burnout, depression, and anxiety: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology, 10*, 284.
25. Luthans, F., Youssef, C. M., & Avolio, B. J. (2007). *Psychological capital: Developing the human competitive edge*. Oxford University Press.
26. Mauno, S., De Cuyper, N., Tolvanen, A., Kinnunen, U., & De Witte, H. (2019). The prospective effects of work engagement and job insecurity on work-related well-being:

Evidence from a four-wave study. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 92*(4), 918–939.
27. Mowday, R. T., Steers, R. M., & Porter, L. W. (1979). The measurement of organizational commitment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 14*(2), 224–247.
28. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 88*(5), 879–903.
29. Probst, T. M. (2005). Countering the negative effects of job insecurity through participative decision making: Lessons from the demand–control model. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 10*(4), 320–329.
30. Reisel, W. D., Chia, S. L., & Maloles, C. M. (2010). Job insecurity spillover to key account management: Negative effects on performance, effectiveness, adaptiveness, and esprit de corps. *Journal of Business and Psychology, 25*(3), 405–418.
31. Robinson, S. L., & Rousseau, D. M. (1994). Violating the psychological contract: Not the exception but the norm. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 15*(3), 245–259.
32. Roodt, G. (2004). *Concept redundancy and contamination in employee commitment research*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Rand Afrikaans University.
33. Schaufeli, W. B., & Taris, T. W. (2014). A critical review of the Job Demands-Resources model: Implications for improving work and health. In G. F. Bauer & O. Hämmig (Eds.), *Bridging occupational, organizational and public health: A transdisciplinary approach* (pp. 43–68).
34. Shima, S., & Homma, Y. (2020). Employment insecurity and subjective well-being: Evidence from Japan. *Social Indicators Research, 147*(3), 937–956.
35. Silla, I., De Cuyper, N., Gracia, F. J., Peiró, J. M., & De Witte, H. (2009). Job insecurity and well-being: Moderation by employability. *Journal of Happiness Studies, 10*(6), 739–751.
36. Stollberger, J., Las Heras, M., & Bosch, M. J. (2022). Perceived job insecurity and work outcomes: The moderating role of perceived employability in dual-earner families. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 31*(2), 233–247.
37. Sverke, M., Hellgren, J., & Näswall, K. (2002). No security: A meta-analysis and review of job insecurity and its consequences. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 7*(3), 242–264.
38. Tett, R. P., & Meyer, J. P. (1993). Job satisfaction, organizational commitment, turnover intention, and turnover: Path analyses based on meta-analytic findings. *Personnel Psychology, 46*(2), 259–293.
39. Watson, D., Clark, L. A., & Tellegen, A. (1988). Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: The PANAS scales. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 54*(6), 1063–1070.
40. Wong, Y. T., Wong, C. S., & Ngo, H. Y. (2005). Organizational citizenship behaviors: The role of organizational commitment and perceived organizational support in Chinese societies. *Human Resource Development Quarterly, 16*(3), 329–347.
41. Xu, Y., Cao, Y., & Guo, Y. (2021). Job insecurity and employee outcomes: The moderating role of organizational justice and work engagement in the Chinese fintech sector. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*, 656786.

European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 16, Issue 2 (2026)
http://eelet.org.uk